

# **VHDL IMPLEMENTATION OF A HIGH-SPEED SYMMETRIC CROSSBAR SWITCH**

by

Maryam Keyvani

B.Sc., University of Tehran, 1998

THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF APPLIED SCIENCE

in the School  
of  
Engineering Science

© Maryam Keyvani 2001

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

August 2001

All rights reserved. This work may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by photocopy or other means, without permission of the author.

## Approval

Name: Maryam Keyvani  
Degree: Master of Applied Science  
Title of thesis: VHDL implementation of a high-speed symmetric crossbar switch.

Examining Committee:

Dr. Mehrdad Saif  
Chair

---

Dr. Ljiljana Trajkovic  
Senior Supervisor

---

Dr. Stephen Hardy  
Supervisor

---

Dr. Tony Dixon  
Examiner  
School of Computer Science  
Simon Fraser University

Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Abstract**

We describe the methodology, the design, and the VHDL implementation of three main blocks of a 4×4 input buffered crossbar switch: the input port modules, the crossbar scheduler module, and the crossbar fabric module. The components employ existing schemes and architectures. However, the design and VHDL implementation of each of the components, and the composition of the overall switch is a novelty. All the blocks are implemented in VHDL employing an ALTERA FLEX10KE device and using MAX+PLUS II software. The switch is capable of handling asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) packets.

ATM packets enter the input data lines of the switch in the form of bytes. Every input port module of the switch has a corresponding input buffer. The data bytes entering the switch are first stored in this buffer. There are four “dynamic virtual input queues” within each of the input buffers. Based on the output port that the packet is destined for, every packet in the input buffer is assigned to one of these four virtual queues. The destination output port of every packet is determined based on the Virtual Circuit Identifier (VCI) information from the header of the packet. This VCI value is looked up in a routing table to determine the destination output port and the updated VCI for the packet. A request for the destination output port is then sent to the scheduler module of the switch. The crossbar scheduler employs a round robin priority rotation scheme that is fair to all the input ports. The scheduler configures the fabric, and grants the requests of some or all the input ports based on their position in the priority round robin. Any input port

that receives a grant de-queues the packet from its input buffer and sends it to the crossbar fabric module, which provides the physical connection between the input and the output ports.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my senior supervisor, Dr. Ljiljana Trajkovic, for her support, encouragement and guidance during the period of my studies. She taught me a lot about research and guided me through every step of my studies. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Stephen Hardy, for his kindness, support, guidance, and valuable comments.

I would like to extend my special thanks to my friend and colleague Arash Haidari. We started this project together and he was my partner in designing the Fabric and the Scheduler modules. Without him this project would not have gone forward. I thank him for his moral and technical support.

My sincere thanks to Dr. Tony Dixon for his willingness to be in my defense committee and his valuable comments.

Special thanks to my family for their support and kindness. Sincere thanks to all my friends, specially Nazy Alborz, for their friendship and support throughout the period of my studies at SFU.

# Table of Contents

Approval .....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgments .....	v
List of Figures .....	ix
List of Tables .....	xi
1. Introduction .....	1
2. Background information .....	7
2.1. Internal interconnect of the switch.....	7
2.1.1. Bus architecture .....	7
2.1.2. Ring architecture .....	9
2.1.3. Crossbar architecture .....	10
2.1.4. Multistage architecture .....	11
2.2. Buffering in packet switches .....	13
2.2.1 Output queues.....	13
2.2.2. Shared central buffers .....	14
2.2.3. Input queues.....	14
2.3. Scheduling algorithms .....	22
3.3. Examples of existing ATM switches .....	27
3. High-level switch view .....	30
4. Input ports .....	34
4.1. Input buffer .....	36
4.2. Counters.....	41
4.3. Look-up table (port_LUT) .....	42
4.4. VCI registers .....	43
4.5. Write sequence controller (Write_seq_SM state machine)..	44

4.6. VCI controller (VCI_SM state machine).....	46
4.7. Read sequence controller (Read_seq_SM state machine) ..	48
4.8. Linked list update controller (linked_list_update process)	51
4.8.1. Initializing the linked lists .....	51
4.8.2. Updating linked lists after a packet has been written .....	52
4.8.3. Updating the linked lists after a packet has been read.....	55
5. The scheduler .....	58
5.1. Two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter.....	59
5.2. Diagonal propagation arbiter (DPA) architecture.....	62
6. The fabric .....	69
7. Device information and simulation results.....	76
7.1. Device information.....	76
7.2. Simulation results .....	78
7.2.1. Simulation results of the switch (Appendix D.1)...	78
7.2.2. Simulation results of the input port module (Appendix D.2) .....	82
Conclusion and future work.....	83
References .....	86
Appendix A. Detailed schematic of the switch with its internal connections.....	91
Appendix B. Sample output port module.....	92
Appendix C. VHDL source code of the switch and its components ...	95
Appendix C.1. voq_switch.vhd.....	96
Appendix C.2. voq_input.vhd .....	106
Appendix C.3. voq_c_bar.vhd .....	123

Appendix C.4. voq_fabric.vhd.....	131
Appendix C.5. LUT.vhd .....	137
Appendix C.6. output_fifo.vhd .....	141
Appendix C.7. voq_input_package.vhd.....	151
Appendix D. Simulation results .....	153
Appendix D.1. Simulation results for the voq_switch project....	154
Appendix D.2. Simulation results for the voq_input project .....	145



## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Schematic of a bus architecture switch.....	8
Figure 2.2: Schematic of a ring architecture switch.....	9
Figure 2.3: An input buffered switch with crossbar architecture .....	10
Figure 2.4: An 8×8 Omega architecture .....	12
Figure 2.5: A 4×4 three-stage Clos architecture.....	12
Figure 2.6: An input buffered switch with periodic traffic.....	16
Figure 2.7: Simple virtual output queuing (VOQ) structure .....	17
Figure 2.8: Alternative designs of switches with input port buffers....	19
Figure 2.9: Input port queues for K-HOL scheme .....	21
Figure 2.10: Bipartite graph G, and a matching W on it .....	22
Figure 2.11: Round robin matching (RRM) scheduling algorithm .....	26
Figure 3.1: High-level schematic of the switch.....	31
Figure 4.1: High-level schematic of voq_input module of the switch ..	34
Figure 4.2: (a) An ATM cell consisting of a 5 byte header and a 48 byte payload. (b) The User Network Interface (UNI) ATM cell header.....	35
Figure 4.3: Voq_input module data path.....	38
Figure 4.4: The structure of the buffer in each voq_input module .....	39
Figure 4.5: The port_LUT component.....	43
Figure 4.6.a: Write sequence state machine in each input port module.....	44
Figure 4.6.b: Reset check in write sequence state machine.....	45
Figure 4.7: VCI state machine in each input port module .....	47
Figure 4.8.a: Read sequence state machine in each input port module.....	48
Figure 4.8.b: Reset/grant check in read sequence state machine .....	49

Figure 4.9: The initial state of the ready flags and the next registers associated with each block of the buffer.....	51
Figure 4.10: Diagram of the steps taken within the linked list update process to update the linked lists, the next registers, and the ready flags after a packet is written in the input buffer.....	53
Figure 4.11: Diagram of the steps taken within the linked list update process to update the linked lists, the next registers, and the ready flags after a packet is de-queued from the input buffer .....	55
Figure 5.1: Two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter .....	59
Figure 5.2: The basic arbiter cell with the combination logic inside it.....	60
Figure 5.3: Fixed priority Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA).....	63
Figure 5.4: Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA).....	66
Figure 5.5: Modified arbitration cell for diagonal propagation arbiter (DPA) architecture .....	67
Figure 5.6: Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA).....	67
Figure 6.1: Crossbar fabric module in our switch .....	69
Figure 6.2: A 4×4 crossbar .....	71
Figure 6.3: Crossbar for the voq_fabric module.....	71
Figure 6.4: The <i>output_fp(2)</i> is the logical sum of <i>input_fp</i> bits AND'd with corresponding <i>cntrl</i> bits.	72
Figure 6.4: The 12 copies of crossbar used in the voq_fabric module.	73
Figure 6.5: The crossbar used to pass the <i>data_valid</i> signals through the fabric.....	74
Figure 6.6: The crossbar used to pass the 3 <sup>rd</sup> bit of the data bytes through the fabric.....	75

## **List of Tables**

Table 7.1: Summery of the gates and logic cells used for the crossbar switch.....	76
Table 7.2: The input VCI, output VCI, and output port numbers stored in the look up table module of our switch .....	79
Table 7.3: Details of simulation results shown in Appendix D.1 .....	81

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Communication networks connect different geographically distributed points, so that these points can communicate with each other. Since a completely connected graph of such a network with  $N$  points would require  $N(N-1)/2$  links -practical for only small  $N$ - a partially connected network is typically used.

Switching refers to the means by which the transmission facilities (bandwidth, buffer capacity, etc.) are allocated to users to provide them with a certain degree of connectivity. Switching systems reduce the overall network costs by reducing the number of transmission links required to enable a given population of users to communicate. They also enable heterogeneity among terminals and transmission links, by providing a variety of interface types. According to the type of information being carried, there are various switching techniques, chosen on the basis of optimizing the usage of bandwidth in the network. The two main switching techniques are: *circuit switching* and *packet switching*.

In circuit switching, a path is set up from the source to the destination at the connection set-up time. Once this path is set up, it remains fully connected for the duration of the connection. It is obvious that circuit switching is only cost effective at times when there is a continuous flow of data once the circuit is set up. This is certainly the characteristic of

voice communication and that is why circuit switching is mostly used in telephone networks.

Communication among computers however, happens in bursts. Data travels through these networks in the form of messages. Each message is a block of data with a header that contains some control information such as source and destination addresses, priority, message type, etc. In data networks, there are certain gaps between the messages. The user devices do not need the transmission link all the time, but when they do, they require relatively high bandwidths. Assigning a continuous connection with high bandwidth for such connections is obviously a waste of resources and results in low utilizations. If the circuit of high bandwidth was set up and released for each message transmission, then the set up time incurred for each message transmission would be high compared to the transmission time of the message. Thus, switches in data networks incorporate the *store and forward* technique for transmitting the messages.

In store and forward, a message is first sent from the source to the switch to which it is attached. The switch scans the header of the message and decides to which output to forward the message. The same scheme is repeated from switch to switch until the message reaches its destination. The advantage of such a switching scheme is that the transmission links are occupied only for the duration of the transmission of a message. After that the links are released in order to transmit other messages. In other words, the bandwidth allocation in the

store and forward scheme is determined dynamically on the basis of a particular message and a particular link in the network.

Packet switching is an extension of message switching. In packet switching, messages are broken into certain blocks called packets, and packets are transmitted independently using the store and forward scheme. Some of the advantages of packet switching over message switching according to [24] are as follows.

- 1) Messages are fragmented into packets that cannot exceed a maximum size. This leads to fairness in the network utilization, even when messages are long.
- 2) Successive packets in a message can be transmitted simultaneously on different links, reducing the end-to-end transmission delay. (This effect is called pipelining.)
- 3) Due to the smaller size of packets compared to messages, packets are less likely to be rejected at the intermediate nodes due to storage capacity limitation at the switches.
- 4) Both the probability of error and the error recovery time will be lower for packets since they are smaller. Once an error occurs, only the packet with the error needs to be retransmitted rather than the whole message. This leads to a more efficient use of the transmission bandwidth.

A packet switch is a box with  $N$  inputs and  $N$  outputs that routes the packets arriving on its inputs to their requested outputs. One can say that the main functions of packet switches are *buffering* and *routing*.

Besides these basic operations a switch can have other capabilities, such as handling multicast traffic and priority functions.

Small  $N \times N$  packet switches are the key components of the interconnection networks used in multiprocessors and integrated communication networking for data, voice, and video. A popular choice in the hardware implementation of packet switches is crossbar architecture [5, 13, 18, 22, 26]. Crossbar is a non-blocking architecture. This means that any input-output pair can communicate with each other as long as they do not interfere with the other input-output pairs. In other words, any permutation of inputs and outputs is possible as long as each input sends data to a different output, and each output receives data from at most one input.

This document describes the design and implementation of an asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) crossbar switch [14]. ATM is a means of digital communication with the potential for replacing the conflicting communication infrastructures (telephone networks, cable TV networks, and computer networks) that nowadays need to be integrated into one. These three information infrastructures have some overlaps among themselves and are all moving from analog technology to digital technology for transmission, switching, and multiplexing. New technologies are being developed that are stepping along the way of merging these three communication infrastructures. ATM technology is intended to be used in networks that transport a variety of different types of information including voice traffic that was traditionally carried over telephone networks, data traffic typically carried on computer

networks, and multimedia traffic consisting of a mixture of image, audio and video information. Each of these various types of traffic can have a different requirement and places different demands on switching and transmission facilities. Although ATM has not replaced datagram networks altogether and hasn't been the one and only dominant technology (as it was promising 10 years ago), but still it has been deployed in many networks. Vendors are continuing to study and improve ATM technology to achieve the implementation of more and more Quality of Service (QoS). In ATM networks data is transferred over Virtual Circuits (VC's) in 53-byte packets called cells.

Our implementation is done in VHSIC Hardware Description Language (VHDL), using MAX+PLUS II software. The ATM crossbar switch that we have implemented is a modular design (can be scaled) and consists of three main components: input port modules, crossbar scheduler, and crossbar fabric. The functionality of the switch can be described as follows. The packets first enter the input ports of the switch where they are queued based on their order of arrival. Each input port has a port controller that determines the destination of a packet, based on the packet header using a programmable mapper (routing table). The port controller then sends a request to the scheduler for the destination output port. The scheduler grants a request based on a priority algorithm that ensures fair service to all the input ports. Once a grant is issued, the crossbar fabric is configured to map the granted input ports to their destination output ports.



Chapter 2 provides background information on queuing schemes, fabric architectures and designs, and scheduling algorithms in packet switches. We also introduce several examples of existing ATM switches. In Chapter 3 an overall view of our switch is presented. Chapter 4 contains a detailed description of the switch input port modules. The crossbar scheduler and crossbar fabric modules are introduced in Chapters 5 and 6, respectively. Finally, Chapter 7 discusses the implementation details and the simulation results of our design.

There are four Appendices in this document. Appendix A contains a detailed schematic of our 4×4 packet switch and its internal connections. Appendix B has the description of a sample output port module that can be connected to the output ports of the switch. Appendices C and D contain the source code for all the components and the simulation results from the design, respectively.

## **Chapter 2**

### **Background information**

There are three main components in packet switches: 1) the block that provides the physical connection between the input and output ports (internal interconnect of the switch), 2) the internal storage (memory, in general) where the packets that enter the switch are stored, and 3) the scheduling module that determines the departure of packets from the switch.

This Chapter provides background information on different designs, architectures, and algorithms for these main components of packet switches. In each case, the pros and cons of the architectures or algorithms are discussed.

#### ***2.1. Internal interconnect of the switch***

There have been discussions about what the internal interconnect of the switch should be [3, 25]. The internal interconnect of the switch can be in the form of a single stage network (shared bus, ring, crossbar) or a multi-stage network of smaller switches arranged in a banyan [9]. What follows are some pros and cons of each of these schemes.

##### **2.1.1. Bus architecture**

Bus architecture is probably the simplest way of transferring data to the output ports (Figure 2.1). The inputs and outputs of the switch are connected to a single bus or a number of parallel buses. The inputs have to contend for the control of the bus. A bus arbitration technique has to

be implemented in the bus processor to arbitrate the control of the bus among the input ports. In bus architecture switches, queuing is mostly done at the output ports of the switch.




Figure 2.1: Schematic of a bus architecture switch with input port processor (IPP), output port processor (OPP), and control processor [25].

In Figure 2.1, the input port processor (IPP) module processes the incoming packets. Its functionalities include synchronizing the incoming packets, looking up the packet header in routing tables, and updating the header. The output port processors (OPP) module typically performs some form of queuing and some congestion control. The control processor configures the routing tables based on the user requests.

In a bus architecture switch, if the input/output line rate is  $R$  and there are  $n$  ports, then the bus should have a minimum speed of  $Rn$ . This means that, for a bus clock of  $r$  Hz, the bus has to be  $w = Rn/r$  bits wide. This relation shows that the bus speed has to grow with the number of links and that is a disadvantage for the bus architecture. Also, the

problem of capacitive loading on the signal lines rises as the number of ports connected to the bus increases. This reduces the maximum clock frequency of the bus.

### **2.1.2. Ring architecture**

In this architecture, ports are connected in a ring. Cells are put into empty time slots and taken from filled and matching time slots. Figure 2.2 shows the ring architecture. RI is the ring component of the switch. Queuing in these switches is done mostly at output ports [25].




Figure 2.2: Schematic of a ring architecture switch. All the input and output ports are connected in a ring [25].

The ring architecture has some additional latency compared to buses but this is small enough for switching applications. The advantage of a ring design over a bus architecture is that a ring does not suffer from capacitive loading as the number of ports increases, since the connections are point to point. Therefore, a ring architecture can have a

larger number of ports. However, similar to bus architecture, the speed of the ring has to increase as the number of ports grows. For a ring supporting  $n$  input/output ports (each operating at a data rate of  $R$  bits per second), the ring speed should be a minimum of  $Rn$ . As  $n$  increases the speed of the ring has to increase too. This is similar to the limitation that exists on bus architecture.

### **2.1.3. Crossbar architecture**

A crossbar consists of  $N$  horizontal buses (rows) and  $N$  vertical buses (columns). Each horizontal bus is connected to an input port and each vertical bus is connected to an output port. Crossbar switches are fully connected switches. Therefore, in a crossbar switch, there is a direct path from every input to every output. Figure 2.3 shows a crossbar architecture with input queues.




Figure 2.3: An input queued switch with crossbar architecture. Crossbars provide a direct connection between each input and output port.

The speed of the crossbar depends on whether input queues or output queues are used. In case of input queues, the input and output port controllers have the advantage of working with merely the speed of the links. If output queues are utilized, the switch fabric has to be fast enough not to cause contention at the output ports. Section 2.2 discusses input queuing vs. output queuing.

Crossbar-based systems can be significantly less expensive than bus or ring systems with equivalent performance because the crossbar allows multiple data transfers to take place simultaneously. Furthermore, crossbars are non-blocking, which means any input-output pair can talk to each other as long as they do not interfere with other input-output pairs. However, in the absence of a fast scheduling algorithm the crossbar becomes a performance bottleneck for big switches. Crossbars are generally expensive, but compared to the total cost of a switch, the crossbar component contributes only a small fraction (around 5% according to [3]).

#### **2.1.4. Multistage architecture**

For systems implemented using CMOS integrated circuits, buffered multistage switches are among the attractive choices. In a multistage architecture, the packets pass through multiple stages of the fabric, made from smaller switch elements, rather than a single stage. In this manner the switch can profit from a certain degree of parallelism. Figure 2.4 shows an example of a multistage switch composed of three stages. This architecture is called an Omega architecture.




Figure 2.4: An 8x8 Omega architecture is an example of a multistage switch.

Multistage switches can be either blocking or non-blocking [7]. Switches with a Clos architecture shown in Figure 2.5 [7] are non-blocking. Banyan architectures [9, 25, 27], on the other hand, suffer from internal blocking. In other words, a cell destined for a certain output can be delayed in the fabric by the contention caused by cells that are destined for other outputs. This problem can be solved by sorting the cells according to the output they are destined for, before sending them into the banyan. Such an architecture, called Batcher-banyan architecture, has been used in the Sunshine switch [9, 27].




Figure 2.5: A 4x4 three-stage Clos architecture, consisting of 2x3, 2x2, and 3x2 switch modules.

## **2.2. Buffering in packet switches**

Even with a non-blocking interconnect such as the crossbar, some buffering is necessary because packets that arrive at the interconnect are unscheduled and the switch has to multiplex them. There are three basic conditions where buffering is necessary: 1) The output port through which the packet needs to be routed is blocked by the next stage of the network. 2) Two packets destined for the same output port arrive simultaneously at different input ports but the output port can accept only one packet at a time. 3) The packet needs to be held while the routing module in the switch determines the output port to which the packet is sent.

The optimal place for the queues in high-performance switches has long been studied. Here are some of the advantages and disadvantages of input (IQ), central shared (CS), and output queuing (OQ).

### **2.2.1 Output queues**

Output queues are used when the aggregate throughput of the switch fabric and the memory is large enough to keep all the output links continuously busy, therefore making the system highly efficient. In such a case, quality of service (QoS) guarantees can be provided. For an  $N \times N$  switch, generally, output queuing is implemented when the switch fabric runs at least  $N$  times faster than the speed of the input lines. This is a disadvantage when high-speed port processors or fast switch fabrics are not available. Another disadvantage of the output buffer is that in order to be able to handle simultaneous packet arrivals, each output buffer must have as many write inputs as there are input ports to the switch.



Implementing output buffers with multiple write inputs increases their cost and reduces their performance. Furthermore, having more than one write at a time can cause problems in buffer allocation for variable sized packets [23].

### **2.2.2. Shared central buffers**

Complete sharing of the buffering space by all the ports results in the most efficient usage of memory resources. Hence, it would be ideal to use central buffers. However, there are fundamental difficulties in the efficient hardware implementation of switches with central buffers [23]. All the input ports and output ports access the shared central buffer; hence in the worst case the bandwidth of the central buffer has to be equal to sum of the bandwidth of all the ports. Furthermore for an  $N \times N$  switch, the central buffer has to at least have  $2 \times N$  ports to be accessible by all input and output ports. Multi-port memory is very expensive to implement and leads to poor performance because of its large access time. To avoid multi-port memories, it is possible to increase the buffer and connection line widths. However, that will cause the bandwidth to be wasted for cells that are smaller than the width of the bus. In addition to implementation difficulties, shared central buffers cause some performance problems. Complex control circuitry for variable size packets and “hogging” of the output ports as some performance issues examples are discussed in [23].

### **2.2.3. Input queues**

One advantage of having input buffers in a packet switch is that the buffer requires only one write port, because only one packet arrives at an input port at a time. The fabric and memory of an input queued (IQ)

switch need to be merely as fast as the line rate. This makes input queuing very appealing for switches with fast line rates or with large numbers of ports. Note the latter is the consequence of the fact that if output queues are chosen for an  $N \times N$  switch, the fabric and memories have to be  $N$  times faster than the line rates, and memory is not fast enough as  $N$  increases. Moreover, for multicast traffic (traffic that is sent from a single input port to multiple output ports), a burst of  $n$  cells that are to be delivered to  $m$  output ports only needs  $n$  cell buffers for the IQ structure, rather than  $m \times n$  buffers for OQ structure. Furthermore, if the buffer is a First in First Out (FIFO) buffer, it is very easy to deal with variable size packets and avoid memory management problems.

The disadvantage of IQ switches with FIFO buffers is head of line (HOL) blocking. HOL blocking occurs when a packet at the head of queue, waiting for a busy output, blocks a packet behind it that is destined to an idle output. HOL blocking can have the worst effect when the traffic is periodic [3] and the scheduling algorithm is based on priority rotation. In such a case the throughput of the switch can be reduced to the throughput of a single link. Figure 2.6 provides an example of periodic traffic: in each time slot only one input and output can communicate with each other.

Comparing output queuing with input queuing for non-blocking switches [12] shows that in output queuing, 100% of the output bandwidth can be utilized, while in input queuing the switch can be loaded up only to a maximum of 58% due to HOL blocking. The 58% utilization is achieved under the assumption that the input ports have

FIFO queues and the incoming traffic is governed by an independent identical Bernoulli process. In other words, it is assumed that the probability that a packet arrives at each input in any given time slot is  $p$ , and each packet has the equal probability  $1/N$  of being addressed to any given output.




Figure 2.6: An input buffered switch with periodic traffic (worst case for HOL blocking). The packet labels are the destination output port numbers of arriving packets.

Many subsequent studies have tackled improving the performance of input-queued packet switches. Some of the proposed techniques are as listed below.

- 1) **Using non-FIFO buffers:** One scheme in this category is virtual output queuing (VOQ) [3, 16, 21, 23]. In this scheme each input has  $N$  queues or blocks of memory instead of one single FIFO queue. In other words, there is a separate queue for each input-output pair (Figure 2.7).




Figure 2.7: Simple virtual output queuing (VOQ) structure. This architecture removes the HOL blocking effect [16].

There are three possible “multiple input queue” buffer structures [23]. Figure 2.8 shows these three schemes together with the standard FIFO architecture. Item 2.8.(a) in the Figure is the standard FIFO queue structure. It shows a  $4 \times 4$  crossbar switch with a single FIFO buffer at each input. Packets that arrive at each input of the switch are queued in the buffer and served in the order that they arrived.

What follows is a description of the three “multiple input queue” buffer structures -Figures 2.8.(b), (c), and (d).

A. *Statically allocated fully connected (SAFC) buffer* [23] shown in Figure 2.8.(b) eliminates HOL blocking by providing, at each input port, a separate FIFO queue for every output port. At every input port, packets

that are destined for output 1 are sent to queue 1, packets destined for output 2 are sent to queue 2, et cetera. When there is a separate FIFO queue for each output (in this case there are four separate FIFO queues at each input, corresponding to the four outputs of the switch) then packets in every queue are contending for the same output. Hence, the packet at the head of line cannot be blocking a packet behind it from being sent to an idle output (and hence no HOL blocking exists). In this architecture, every input can send  $N$  packets in every time slot (rather than one packet in case of single FIFO inputs). This increases the throughput of the switch.

The SAFC scheme has the following disadvantages:

- i. Four separate crossbars must be controlled as opposed to a single crossbar;
- ii. Each input port requires four separate buffers and buffer controllers;
- iii. Buffer utilization is inefficient. The available buffer space is partitioned into four statistically allocated queues. Hence, the potential storage space for a given packet is only one quarter of the buffer space at each input port;
- iv. Pre-routing is required for every packet in order to determine the destination output port (and hence the input queue the packet belongs to).




Figure 2.8: Alternative designs of switches with input port buffers [23]. (a) Standard FIFO buffer, (b)  $N$  FIFO queues at each input (each FIFO queue connected to a separate crossbar), (c)  $N$  FIFO queues at each input (only one queue at each input port connected to the crossbar at any time), (d)  $N$  FIFO queues (with dynamic boundaries) at each input share the same buffer.

*B. Statically allocated multi-queue (SAMQ) buffer* shown in Figure 2.8.(c) removes disadvantage i. from the list by sacrificing the high throughput [23]. Each input can send only one packet to the crossbar in every time slot (as opposed to  $N$  in the previous case). This removes the need to

control  $N$  crossbars at any time. Nevertheless, the remaining disadvantages of the SAFC buffers still exist.

*C. Dynamically allocated multi-queue (DAMQ) buffer [23]* shown in Figure. 2.8.(d) has none of the disadvantages mentioned earlier. In this scheme each input buffer uses a single buffer pool. Virtual queues are allocated dynamically within each input buffer and that makes the buffer usage more efficient. Each virtual queue is maintained via a linked list. For each virtual queue, there is a head/tail register pointing to the head and tail of the corresponding linked list. A separate linked list is also maintained for the free storage space in the buffer. When a packet arrives, it is written to the memory location marked by the head of the free space linked list (no pre-routing required). While the packet is being written to the free buffer space, its header is looked up and its destination output port number is determined. The tail pointer of the link list corresponding to this output port destination will then change, to point to the arrived packets location.

**2) Operating the switch fabric at a faster speed than the input/output lines (speedup):** This scheme reduces the effect of HOL blocking but does not remove it completely [6]. A speedup by a factor of  $S$  can remove  $S$  packets from each input port within each time slot. Therefore, for an  $N \times N$  switch, if output buffers are used, the speedup is  $N$ , and if input buffers are used, the speedup is equal to one. For switches that use speedup, both input and output buffers are required.

**3) Examining the first K cells in a FIFO queue where  $K > 1$**  : Consider a switch with input port buffers as shown in Figure 2.9 [4]. The packet labels are destination port numbers.




Figure 2.9: Input port queues for K-HOL scheme [4].

We define array  $A_i = [a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, \dots, a_{iN}]^T$  where  $a_{is} = d$  is the destination port number,  $i$  is the column number, and  $s$  is the source port number. We also define transmission array  $T = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N]^T$ , where  $t_s = d$  indicates that input port  $s$  is assigned to transmit a packet to output port  $d$ . The underlying goal in this algorithm is to use arrays  $A_1$  to  $A_k$  in order to produce a transmission assignment array  $T$  that has as many non-zero elements as possible.

There is no record that this scheme was ever implemented in hardware. This scheme improves the throughput, but it is sensitive to arrival patterns and may perform no better than regular FIFO when traffic occurs in bursts.



### 2.3. Scheduling algorithms

The scheduler module in a packet switch decides when data is sent from particular inputs to their desired outputs. Normally, a request is sent from the input ports to the scheduler and the scheduler finds the best configuration of input-output pairs. The scheduling algorithm has to be fast, fair, and easy to implement in hardware. A comparison of several scheduling algorithms for input queued switches can be found in [17].

The problem of scheduling, that is determining which input and output should be connected to each other in each time slot, is equivalent to finding a matching in a bipartite graph. Graph  $G$  is bipartite if its nodes are divided into two sets, and each edge has an end in one of the sets. Switch inputs and outputs form the two sets of nodes of the bipartite graph and the edges are the connections required by the queued cells. Figure 2.10 shows a bipartite graph  $G$  with  $M$  inputs and  $N$  outputs, together with a matching  $W$  on the graph. ( $M$  would be equal to  $N^2$  for an  $N \times N$  switch with VOQ).




Figure 2.10: Bipartite graph  $G$ , and a matching  $W$  on it

What follows is a description of several scheduling algorithms discussed in literature.

**1) Maximum Size Matching** scheduling algorithm by McKeown, Anantharam, and Warland [16] finds the matching that contains the maximum number of edges. This algorithm is stable (and achieves 100% throughput) for independent uniform traffic but could lead to starvation (and hence queue overflow) or instability, if the arrival processes are not uniform [16]. Maximum size matching can also cause a reduced throughput for non-uniform traffic [20]. For non-uniform traffic, cells concentrate among a relatively small number of VOQ's and therefore, the scheduling algorithm will not have many configurations to choose from. If the traffic is uniformly distributed among all the VOQ's, the algorithm will have different choices in finding the maximum matching and will result in a higher throughput. In other words, the main problem with maximum size matching is that it does not consider the backlog of cells in the VOQ's, or the cells that have been waiting in line to be served. Furthermore, this algorithm is too complex to implement in hardware. The best known maximum size matching algorithm converges in  $O(n^{5/2})$  time [15].

**2) Maximum Weight Matching** algorithm assigns a weight to each input queue [16]. The matching algorithm finds an input-output match that has the highest sum of weights. This algorithm is stable for both uniform and non-uniform traffic [16]. The weight assigned to each queue is usually equal to the occupancy of the queue and therefore the longest queue has the highest weight. Hence this algorithm is also called

Longest Queue First (LQF). The disadvantage of maximum weight matching is its high complexity i.e.,  $O(N^3 \log N)$ . The algorithm can not be implemented in hardware because it needs multi-bit comparators to compare the weights of the queues.

**3) Oldest Cell First (OCF)** scheduling leads to 100% throughput for independent arrivals and no queue will be starved [21]. This algorithm uses the waiting times of HOL cells as requesting weights and selects a match such that the sum of all queue waiting times is maximized. This algorithm, however, is too complex (i.e.,  $O(N^3 \log N)$ ) to be implemented in hardware.

**4) Longest Port First (LPF)** algorithm by McKeown is a variation of the LQF scheme [20]. However it does not have the complexity of LQF and can be implemented in hardware. In LQF algorithm, each queue has a weight equal to the length of the queue. In LPF, however, the weight (also called port occupancy) of each queue is the sum of aggregate input and output queue occupancies. This algorithm finds the match that is both maximum size and maximum weight. The complexity of the LPF scheme is  $O(N^{2.5})$ , but it can be simplified with some approximations in order to be implemented in hardware.

**5) Parallel iterative matching (PIM)** algorithm is based on randomness and iteration [15]. There are three steps in choosing the match between inputs and outputs:

- a. Each unmatched input sends a request to every output for which it has a queued cell;

- b. If an unmatched output receives any request, it grants one by randomly selecting a request;
- c. If an input receives a grant, it accepts one by selecting an output randomly among those that granted its request.

These three steps are repeated for the inputs that are not paired with any outputs, until they converge to a maximal match. A maximal match is one in which each node is either matched or has no edge to an unmatched node.

In the PIM algorithm, randomness prevents queues from being starved. Also, in each iteration of random matching, a minimum average of 3/4 of the remaining possible connections are matched or eliminated. Therefore this algorithm converges to a maximal match in an average of  $O(\log N)$  iterations. The disadvantage of this randomness is that it is expensive and difficult to implement in hardware. Furthermore, it can lead to unfairness between connections and the multiple iterations are time consuming. We prefer an algorithm that performs well in a single iteration.

**6) Round robin matching (RRM)** overcomes the unfairness of random matching by granting requests and accepting grants according to a round robin priority scheme [15, 18]. There are three steps in this algorithm shown in Figure 2.11:

- a. In the Request step, each input sends a request (arrows in Figure 2.11) to every output for which it has a queued cell;
- b. In the Grant step, an output that has received any requests grants the one request that appears next in a fixed round

- robin schedule starting from the highest priority element. The grants in the figure are arrows going from outputs to the inputs. The priority round robin of the output is then incremented (modulo  $N$ ) one step beyond the granted input;
- c. In the Accept step, an input that has received grants accepts the grant that appears next in a fixed round robin schedule starting from the highest priority element. The priority round robin of the input is then incremented (modulo  $N$ ) one step beyond the accepted output.




Figure 2.11: Round robin matching (RRM) scheduling algorithm [11].

RRM algorithm removes the unfairness and complexity inherent in the PIM algorithm. The algorithm performs well on a single iteration and converges to a maximal match in an average of  $O(\log N)$  iterations. Round robin arbiters (implemented as priority encoders) are much simpler and faster than random arbiters used in the PIM algorithm. Nevertheless, the RRM algorithm still performs poorly under heavy traffic due to a synchronization phenomenon described in [15].

**7) iSLIP** is an iterative algorithm achieved by making a small change to the RRM scheme [15]. iSLIP has the same three steps of RRM. Only the second step (Grant step) has changed and changed little:

- b. If an output receives any requests, it grants one that appears next in a fixed round robin schedule starting from the highest priority queue. However, the round robin at the output is not incremented (module  $N$ ), unless the grant is accepted by the input in the Accept step. In other words, the priority round robin at the output side is incremented (provided that the grant was accepted) after the Accept step is passed.

Those inputs and outputs not matched at the end of one iteration are eligible for matching in the next. This small change to the RRM algorithm makes iSLIP capable of handling heavy loads of traffic and eliminates starvation of any connections. The algorithm converges in an average of  $O(\log N)$  and a maximum of  $N$  iterations. iSLIP can fit in a single chip and is readily implemented in hardware [17].

### ***3.3. Examples of existing ATM switches***

The *Knockout* switch has a non-blocking, fully connected internal interconnect (fabric) [26, 27]. It is a modular switch with output FIFO buffers and a maximum line rate of 50 Mbps. The switch does not have a time-slot specific scheduling algorithm and multiple simultaneous packets can arrive at any output buffer. Up to  $1000 \times 1000$  switches can be implemented employing the knockout fabric architecture.

The *ForeRunner ASX-200* switch is an example of a modular bus architecture ATM switch [8] with shared memory output buffers. It supports up to 32 ATM ports ranging in speeds from T1/E1 (1.544 Mbps) to OC-12c/STM-4c (622 Mbps).

The *Tiny Terra* switch is an input buffered switch with a crossbar fabric architecture [18]. This 32×32 switch employs VOQ mechanism, and an iSLIP scheduling algorithm [15]. The maximum line rate of the switch is 10 Gbps.

The 16×16 *ATLAS I* single chip ATM switch has a maximum line rate of 622 Mbps [13]. The switch employs shared output buffers. The ATM cells are stored in the single shared buffer pool and are never moved until they depart the switch. The scheduling algorithm of the switch is priority based. Certain ATM cells have higher priorities and are scheduled to leave the switch earlier than other cells.

The 32×32 *Sunshine* switch has output buffers and a self-routing Batcher-banyan fabric [9]. Input and output lines have a data rate of 155 Mbps. Input cells are queued according to four service classes and are output in a round robin manner.

In the design of the switch, presented in the next 5 chapters, we have chosen a crossbar fabric because it is a fully connected, non-blocking, and fast architecture. Input buffers are used to benefit from the advantages of input buffering discussed earlier in this chapter. To overcome the HOL blocking phenomenon inherent in FIFO input

buffers, we have employed the VOQ architecture. The scheduling algorithm used in the switch discussed in Chapter 5 is a fair, fast, simple, and efficient algorithm that can easily be implemented in hardware.



## **Chapter 3**

### **High-level switch view**

The overall view of the 4×4 switch design is given in Figure 3.1. The input lines to the switch are four data lines, four frame pulse inputs, one clock input, a reset input, and a global reset input. The output lines of the switch are 4 data output lines, 4 data valid lines, 4 output frame pulse lines, one clock output, and 4 outputs that indicate the origin of the data coming to each data output port. This switch is modular and can be scaled up or down with minor changes. A more detailed schematic of our 4×4 switch design is available in Appendix A.

The four data inputs (*data\_in1* to *data\_in4*) are each 8 bits wide, and carry fixed size Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) packets. Other packet formats such as IP packets have to be fragmented into ATM cells first and before being input to the switch. One data byte can be input to the switch in every clock cycle. In our switch, data is both input and output on the rising edge of the clock.

The *clock* input is global to all switch components. It is used to clock the input and output data streams. Another clock called *c\_bar\_clock* is internally generated within the input port modules. This clock has a period equal to a packet time. Packet time is the interval required for a packet to be output from the switch. The length of the packet time is dependent on the frequency of the clock inputs. The rule of our design is that the *c\_bar\_clock* should be 59 times slower than the *clock* input. For the 53 bytes in an ATM packet, 53 clock cycles are required and the

6 additional clock cycles are needed to account for internal delays as well as buffer updates.




Figure 3.1: High-level schematic of the switch. It consists of 4 input ports, a crossbar fabric, and a fair scheduler.

The frame pulse inputs ( $fp1$  to  $fp 4$ ) are one bit wide signals indicating the start of packets. A pulse on the  $fp$  line should be at least one clock cycle wide. The frame pulse signal is checked on the falling edge of the clock input. The first data byte coming on the second rising edge after the frame pulse is detected, is considered as the first byte of the packet.

The *reset* and *global\_reset* inputs of the switch reset all the counters used in the design and initialize them to their starting values. The

*global\_reset* signal resets the input buffers, where the ATM packets are stored, as well. In other words, if an error occurs while switching a packet, the *reset* signal can be used to switch that packet again. However, if one wants to reset the whole switch and delete the contents of the buffers, *global\_reset* should be used.

The output ports in our switch do not have any processing capability or any storage capacity. They are currently only the pins of the chip. An output module such as the one described in Appendix B can be implemented at the output ports to reassemble the packets and store them until they are allowed to enter the network. Currently, the output lines of our switch are *clock*, *data\_out\_port*, *fp\_out\_port*, *data\_valid*, and *incoming\_port\_to\_output*.

The output data bytes are sent out on *data\_out\_port1* to *data\_out\_port4* output ports. The output frame pulse signals (*fp\_out\_port1* to *fp\_out\_port4*) generated within the switch mark the beginning of outgoing packets for their corresponding data lines. The relationship between the beginning of the packet and the frame pulse for the output ports is similar to that of the inputs: the first byte of an outgoing packet is sent out on the second rising edge of the output clock after a pulse on the corresponding output's frame pulse lines is detected. (The frame pulse line is checked on the falling edge of the clock.)

The *data\_valid* output lines (*data\_valid1* to *data\_valid4*) indicate whether the data present at the corresponding output of the switch is valid for

sampling. If this line is logic low, the corresponding output line is invalid and should be ignored.

A source port number signal (*incoming\_port\_to\_output1* to *incoming\_port\_to\_output4*) is available at each output port along with the data. This signal indicates at which input port the data originated. This signal can later be used for classifying and outputting the data according to a desired priority scheme. Furthermore, in cases where the packets are partially switched, the origin of each packet can be used to reassemble the data at the output ports. This matter is discussed further in Appendix B.

Appendix C contains the VHDL source code for the *voq\_switch* project and all the components in the switch.

## Chapter 4

### Input ports

There is an input port module for each of the four inputs of our 4×4 switch. This module is responsible for handling, storing and processing the arriving ATM packets. This document refers to the input port module as the “voq\_input” module. VOQ stands for virtual output queuing described in earlier chapters. VOQ has been implemented in the input port modules of our switch; hence its name.

A high-level schematic of the voq\_input module is shown in Figure 4.1. Each data byte arriving at the voq\_input module is first written into a Random Access Memory (RAM) component called bufferx. This buffer holds up to 848 one-byte words. The second, third and fourth bytes of the packet are written into VCI registers as well as the buffer. These bytes, located in the header of the ATM packet, contain the Virtual Circuit Identifier (VCI) information. Figure 4.2 shows an ATM cell with its header and payload bytes.




Figure 4.1: High-level schematic of voq\_input module of the switch.




Figure 4.2: (a) An ATM cell consisting of a 5 byte header and a 48 byte payload. (b) The User Network Interface (UNI) ATM cell header. Bytes 2, 3, and 4 contain the VCI information.

Depending on the VCI information in the packet header, the ATM switch decides to which output port the ATM packet should be sent, and what the new VCI should be. In this document, “VCI bytes” refers to the second, third, and fourth bytes of the ATM header shown in Figure 4.2.(b). Those 16 bits that are marked as VCI in this Figure are in turn called “VCI bits”.

After the first four bytes of a packet are read, and while the rest of the bytes of the packet are being shifted in, the port controller extracts the address information (VCI bits) from the header of the arriving ATM packet and sends it to a Look Up Table (LUT) module. The LUT is a routing table that updates the VCI bytes of the header and returns the new VCI together with the destination output port number for that packet. The port controller then sends a request for that specific output port to the scheduler, and awaits a grant.

Once a grant is issued for a certain packet, the data bytes are de-queued from the input buffer in the order that they had arrived. A counter for

the de-queue state machine within the port controller signals when the updated VCI bytes have to be read from the VCI registers.

After the entire packet is sent, the same process is repeated for the next packet. Note that as soon as a grant for an output port is issued, the input port number is sent to the crossbar fabric so that the output port receiving the data knows where the packet originated from. Figure 4.3 shows a detailed schematic of the voq\_input module. In this Figure there is no central controller. The input port controller is actually a gathering of several separate state machines shown in Figure 4.3:

1. Write sequence state machine (Write\_seq\_SM process);
2. VCI controller state machine (VCI\_SM process);
3. Read sequence state machine (Read\_seq\_SM process);
4. Linked list update state machine (Linked\_list\_update process).

The following sections describe the main components of the voq\_input module (buffer, counters, LUT, VCI registers), and all the state machines mentioned earlier.

#### **4.1. Input buffer**

The input buffer in our switch design shown in Figure 4.4 is a 848 word dual port RAM. Each word is one byte wide. In order to address all the words in the 848 word RAM the address lines are 10 bits wide. The write address (*waddress*) determines to where in the buffer the input data bytes should be written, and the read address (*rdaddress*) is where the

outgoing data bytes are read from. There is a separate enable input for both read and write operations (*rden* and *wren*). Read and write operations are synchronized with the rising edge of the main clock of the switch.

Each input buffer is divided into 16 virtual blocks of 53 bytes length, shown in Figure 4.4. Every block is addressed with a pointer to its first byte and can hold one complete ATM packet. The choice buffer size is a trade off between the switch speed and the loss rate. The larger the buffer is, the smaller the probability of buffer overflow and the loss rate. On the other hand, the queuing delay increases as the buffer size grows. A large queuing delay reduces the switching speed and results in a low Quality of Service (QoS) in the network. For our 4×4 switch with input buffers, 16 is a reasonable number that does not cause overflow for uniform constant bit rate traffic.

We require 4-bit-wide pointers to reference individual blocks. While dequeuing (or en-queuing) packets, it suffices to have a pointer to the beginning of the block that holds (or will be holding) the packet. The read and write counters provide the offset for read and write addresses.

The buffer in our design consists of five dynamic first in first out (FIFO) queues. The queues are dynamic in the sense that they do not have fixed sizes or locations in the buffer memory. Each block of the buffer could belong to any of these five queues.






Figure 4.3: Voq\_input module data path. It consists of two counters, a RAM component (bufferx), a ROM based look-up table (LUT), and four state machine based controllers.




Figure 4.4: The structure of the buffer in each voq\_input module. The buffer is a 848 word RAM divided into 16 blocks.

The five FIFO queues are maintained via linked lists. A certain structure called “queue\_descriptor” is defined in VHDL for this purpose. Queue 1 to queue 5 in our design are of “queue\_descriptor” type. The “queue\_descriptor” structure has three fields: head, tail, and empty.

Head and tail fields are of type pointer and empty field is a one bit flag. The head and tail fields of each queue point to the first and last blocks in the queue, respectively. A logic high value for the empty field of a queue shows that the queue is empty.

Four of the queues, queue(0) to queue(3), correspond to the four output ports of the switch. In other words, packets that are destined for output 1 are stored in queue(0). The packets destined for output 2 are stored in queue(1), etc. The fifth dynamic FIFO queue of the buffer is the free\_space queue. This queue holds the empty blocks of the buffer. Note that an empty free\_space queue is the equivalent of a full buffer. An empty free\_space queue indicates that there is no free block left to accept a new packet.

Whenever a packet arrives at the buffer, it is written into the block that is at the head of the free\_space queue. Whenever a packet is to be read from the buffer and sent to a certain output port, it is read from the head of the queue that corresponds to that output port.

Each block of memory in our buffer (refer to Figure 4.4) has three registers associated with it.

- The “*next\_register*”: Let’s assume that block  $x$  holds a packet that belongs to  $queue(i)$ . The value of next register for block  $x$  -  $next\_register(x)$  - is the location of the next block belonging to  $queue(i)$ . This is how the members of different queues are distinguished, and how the order of the blocks in each queue is accounted for. A detailed

description of how the linked lists are manipulated is given in section 4.8, where the `linked_list_update` process is described.

- The “*vci\_out\_vector*”: The *vci\_out\_vector(x)*, associated with block *x*, is where the updated VCI bytes of the packet in block *x* are stored.
- The “*ready\_flag*”: The third register associated with each block *x* is *ready\_flag(x)*. A logic high value of the *ready\_flag(x)* indicates that an ATM packet has been completely written into block *x*. A logic low *ready\_flag* shows that the corresponding block of the buffer is empty.

## **4.2. Counters**

There are two main counters used in our design: `counter_53` and `dq_counter53` (refer to Figure 4.3). Both these counters are always enabled and will be incremented at the rising edge of the main clock, if they are neither set nor cleared by their controllers. There is also a third counter in our design called the `clock_gen_counter`. This counter is a Mod(59) counter and assists in making the *c\_bar\_clock* signal.

The first counter, `counter_53`, is 6 bits wide and counts the number of bytes that enter the `voq_input` module and are written (en-queued) into the input buffer. This counter is mainly controlled by the `write_seq_SM` controller shown in Figure 4.3. The counter is always kept in a set condition and starts counting from zero once a frame pulse on the *fp* input of the `voq_input` module signals the beginning of a packet. This counter is set again, once the whole packet is read.

The second counter, the `dq_counter53`, is also a 6 bit counter. It is used for reading (de-queuing) the data bytes from the input buffer. This counter is mainly controlled by the `Read_seq_SM` controller shown in Figure 4.3. This controller enables the counter when a packet in the buffer receives a grant and is being de-queued from the buffer. The counter is cleared once a whole packet has been de-queued and the queues have been updated.

### **4.3. Look-up table (port\_LUT)**

The `port_LUT` is a Read Only Memory (ROM) based component that can be initialized with an arbitrary set of data, to form the routing table of the switch. The ROM has eight rows and each row is 36 bits wide. These bits consist of: a 16-bit input VCI, a 16-bit output VCI, and a 4-bit output port number. Figure 4.5 shows the LUT ROM in more detail.

The LUT component searches through the ROM rows, until it finds a match between the input VCI bits in the ROM and the `input_vci` input to the LUT. If the match exists on row  $x$  of the ROM, the output VCI bits and the output port number bits in row  $x$  are displayed on `output_vci` and `output_port_no` outputs of the LUT component, respectively. The `renable` output is activated at the same time in order to signal that valid data is on the output ports of the LUT. If no match is found in the table, the output lines are all set to zero.




Figure 4.5: The port\_LUT component is based on an 8 word ROM, where each word is 36 bits wide.

#### 4.4. VCI registers

The VCI registers shown in Figure 4.3 (VCI\_reg0, VCI\_reg1, and VCI\_reg2) are 8-bit wide registers. The input data lines of these registers are loaded into them (on the rising edge of the clock), provided that the registers are enabled. The outputs of these registers are concatenated and stored in a separate register called *vci\_in\_vector*.

Upon the arrival of VCI bytes, the voq\_input module enables the VCI registers. Three clock cycles after they are enabled, the registers will be holding correct VCI bytes, and *vci\_in\_vector* will have a valid value.

#### 4.5. Write sequence controller (Write\_seq\_SM state machine)

The write sequence controller module (Write\_seq\_SM) shown in Figure 4.3 is comprised of a state machine called Write\_seq\_SM shown in Figure 4.6.a. This state machine has two states: S0 and S1. All the state transitions happen at the falling edge of the clock, and in each state the condition of reset is checked, as shown in "Reset check in write sequence state machine" diagram (Figure 4.6.b.)




Figure 4.6.a: Write sequence state machine in each input port module (write\_seq\_SM process in voq\_input.vhd file of Appendix C)

The write sequence state machine, starts from state zero (S0) where all the signals are reset and the counter\_53, which counts the number of written bytes, is set to all ones. The state machine remains in state zero

until a pulse on the *fp* input line indicates that a new packet is arriving. (The *fp* line is sampled on every falling edge of the clock.) Upon detection of a pulse on the *fp* line, if the buffer is not full, the state machine goes to state one (S1). In state one the arriving packet is written to the block that is at the head of the free\_space FIFO queue (more on this later when we describe the linked list updates). The write address first points to the first byte of the block at the head of free\_space queue, and moves forward as the counter\_53 counts. This counter is incremented by one for each incoming data byte, and moves the write address pointer forward to the next position in the block.




Figure 4.6.b: Reset check in write sequence state machine. In every state the reset signals are checked and in case any of them is true, the state machine moves to state zero.

Furthermore, if the counter shows values 1, 2, or 3 in state one, the *VCI\_reg\_en* signal is set to logic one. Therefore, bytes 1, 2, and 3 are written into the VCI registers as well as the buffer itself. These bytes contain the VCI information needed for routing the packet through the switch. As soon as all the bytes of the packet are written and counter\_53



reaches 53, the state machine goes back to state zero where it awaits the arrival of a new packet.

#### **4.6. VCI controller (VCI\_SM state machine)**

The VCI state machine's main function is handling and updating the VCI bits of the packet that is being written into the buffer. It sends the VCI bits to the look-up table and retrieves the changed and updated VCI bits together with the output port number. It stores the updated VCI bits in a designated vector (*vci\_out\_vector*) so that the new VCI bytes can replace the old VCI bytes while the packet is being de-queued from the input buffer. The VCI\_SM state machine is shown in Figure 4.7.

In state zero (S0), if counter53 is equal to 3, then the VCI bytes have already been read and are therefore stored in the *vci\_in\_vector* register. In state one (S1), the VCI bits (bits 4 to 19) of the *vci\_in\_vector* are sent to the input of the look-up table. State two (S2) provides a clock cycle's time for the look-up table to respond with a new VCI. State three (S3) checks if the look-up table has responded. If so, the *vci\_out\_vector* corresponding to the block to which it is being written is loaded with the correct VCI bytes. Furthermore, the destination port number of the packet is retrieved. The output port number, used in the linked list update process described in section 4.8, also indicates the queue number for the packet that has arrived.




Figure 4.7: VCI state machine in each input port module. (VCI\_SM process in the voq\_input.vhd file of Appendix C)

In state three, if the look-up table does not output a valid *output\_vci* by the time the whole packet is shifted into the buffer, then the state machine goes back to state zero. In such a case, the output port number and the *vci\_out\_vector* register of the block that received the packet will both be zero. Hence, if a packet with an unknown VCI (a VCI that does not exist in the LUT) arrives at our switch, the default updated VCI and the default destination port number of the packet will be set to zero.

#### 4.7. Read sequence controller (Read\_seq\_SM state machine)

The read sequence controller implements the Read\_seq\_SM state machine shown in Figure 4.8.a.




Figure 4.8.a: Read sequence state machine in each input port module. (Read\_seq\_SM process in the voq\_input.vhd file of Appendix C.)

This state machine has 10 states. The state transitions happen on the rising edge of the clock, and in each state the condition of *reset* and a valid *grant* is checked as shown in the "reset/grant check in read sequence state machine" diagram (Figure 4.8.b)

The read sequence state machine handles the de-queuing of the packets. It reads the packet that is at the head of the queue receiving a grant (the *read\_queue*). The *read\_pointer*, points to the head of the *read\_queue*.




Figure 4.8.b: Reset/grant check in read sequence state machine.

State zero (S0) awaits a non-zero grant, while the read enable signal is logic zero. As soon as a non-zero grant arrives, the state machine moves to state one (S1), where the read enable signal becomes logic one. It takes two clock cycles from the time that the read enable signal goes high to the time that valid data is displayed on the data output line of the buffer (*RAM\_out*). Therefore, the actual reading starts in state three (S3). The output data line of the *voq\_input* module is called *parallel\_data*. The first byte of *parallel\_data* is equal to the data read from the buffer (*RAM\_out*); the second, third, and fourth bytes are read from the *vci\_out\_vector* register, which contains the updated VCI, and the rest of the bytes are again read from the buffer. Data bytes are read until

`dq_counter53` shows a value of 55 (53 for the bytes in the packet, 2 for the cycles it took until the buffer output displayed valid data). The state machine then resets all the signals and clears the `dq_counter53` so that they are ready for the next packet that will be served. The value of the `dq_counter53` is maintained as a temporary signal (*`dq_count53_temp`*) for two clock cycles. This time is required to update the linked lists.

In each state, if there is a valid grant and no reset is active, the read address signal is assigned an appropriate value. The read address signal always starts from the first byte of the packet at the head of the read queue (the queue that is served). The read address signal is incremented by one after each byte is read. The `dq_counter53` counter, counts the number of bytes that are de-queued and moves the read pointer forward as the de-queue process continues to read the rest of the packet. In case of a reset or a zero grant signal from the scheduler, the de-queuing is disabled and the output data is set to zero.

The read sequence state machine also creates a frame pulse (*`data_out_fp`*), and a *`data_valid`* signal for the outgoing data. The outgoing frame pulse marks the beginning of the outgoing packet on the *`parallel_data_out`* output line. Similar to the incoming frame pulse, the first byte of the outgoing data can be read on the second rising edge of the clock after the pulse on the *`data_out_fp`* line is detected. The data is only valid when the *`data_valid`* signal is high.

#### 4.8. **Linked list update controller (linked\_list\_update process)**

The linked list update controller updates the linked lists that maintain the dynamic queues of the buffer. This state machine has three main functions:

1. Initialize the linked lists whenever the *global\_reset* signal of the switch goes high.
2. Update the linked lists after a packet has been written to the input buffer.
3. Update the linked lists after a packet has been read from the input buffer.

##### 4.8.1. **Initializing the linked lists**

The linked list update process initializes the linked lists, the *next\_registers*, and the *ready\_flags* in the following manner (shown in Figure 4.9):




Figure 4.9: The initial state of the ready flags and the next registers associated with each block of the buffer.

For queues 1 to 4, head and tail fields point to the first block of the buffer (block 0), and the empty field is set to zero. In other words:

$queue(i).head = queue(i).tail = "0000"$ ,  $queue(i).empty = '0'$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

For the free\_space queue, the head points to the first block (block 0) and the tail points to the last block (block 15) of the buffer. The empty field is set to logic 0 because the buffer consists of empty blocks at the start up.

In the initialization stage, all the ready flags are set to zero and all the next registers point to their neighboring higher block in the buffer.

#### **4.8.2. Updating linked lists after a packet has been written**

Figure 4.10 shows how the linked lists, next registers, and ready flags are updated after a packet has been fully written into a certain block of the input buffer. Two operations have to take place. First, the packet has to be added to the queue it belongs to, and second, the block holding the packet has to be removed from the free\_space queue.

To achieve the first goal, the block holding the packet is added to the tail of the queue to which the packet belongs. Note that this queue number is equal to the destination port number of the packet, decided by the look-up table module.

Let one assume that the newly arrived packet belongs to queue(i) (shown as Q(i) in Figure 4.10) and should be added to the queue(i)'s linked list.

There are two cases to consider:

1. queue(i) is empty and this packet is the first packet that has arrived destined for output I;
2. queue(i) is not empty and there is at least one packet in it.




Figure 4.10: Diagram of the steps taken within the linked list update process to update the linked lists, the next registers, and the ready flags after a packet is written in the input buffer.

In the first case, the `voq_input` module performs an *add\_empty* function, which adds a member to an empty linked list. The *add\_empty* function directs the head and tail of `queue(i)` to point to the block holding the packet (head of `free_space`) and resets the empty field of `queue(i)` to logic zero.



In the second case, the *add\_normal* function is performed. This function assigns the new block as both the new tail of queue(i), and the next block for the old tail of queue(i).

In both cases the *ready\_flag* associated with the added block is set to logic 1 to show that the block is not empty and that it is holding a packet.

Next, the block holding the newly arrived packet has to be removed from the *free\_space* linked list. Again, there are two cases to consider.

1. The *free\_space* linked list has only one element (i.e., its head and tail point to the same block);
2. The *free\_space* linked list has more than one element (i.e., its head and tail are not similar).

In the first case, the *remove\_oneElement* function shown in Figure 4.10 is performed. This function removes the last block from the *free\_space* linked list by resetting the linked list's empty field to logic 1.

In the second case, the *remove\_normal* function is performed. This function removes the new block from the head of the *free\_space* linked list by making the next block in the list the new head.

### 4.8.3. Updating the linked lists after a packet has been read

After a packet has been read or de-queued from the buffer, the linked lists have to be updated in two ways. First, the block that was just read (the de-queued block) should be removed from the queue that it belonged to, and second, the same block should be added to the free\_space linked list. Figure 4.11 shows a diagram of these operations.




Figure 4.11: Diagram of the steps taken within the linked list update process to update the linked lists, the next registers, and the ready flags after a packet is de-queued from the input buffer.

Let one assume the de-queued block belongs to `queue(i)`. The procedure taken here is the same as the last section. The functions performed are similar only they are performed on different queues. In this case, the queue being added to is the `free_space` queue and the queue being removed from is `queue(i)`.

As shown in Figure 4.11, while removing the packet from `queue(i)`, two cases can occur: either `queue(i)` has a single element, in which case the `remove_oneElement` function is performed, or it has more than one element, in which case a `remove_normal` function takes place.

In the `remove_oneElement` function the `empty` field of `queue(i)` is set to logic 1, declaring it an empty queue. In the `remove_normal` function, the next block to the de-queued block becomes the new head of `queue(i)`. (Note that packets are removed from the head of the queues.)

To add the removed block to the `free_space`, the `voq_input` module checks whether the `free_space` linked list is empty. If so, then the `add_empty` function shown in Figure 4.11 is performed. This function points both the head and tail of the `free_space` linked list to the de-queued block. It also sets the `empty` field of the `free_space` linked list to zero.

If the `free_space` queue is not empty, then the de-queued block is added to the tail of the `free_space` linked list. The de-queued block becomes both the new tail of the list, and the next block for the old tail.

In both add functions, the *ready\_flag* associated with the de-queued block is reset to logic 0 to indicate that the new block is empty.

Other than *data\_valid*, *parallel\_data\_out*, and *data\_out\_fp* signals, the *voq\_input* module has a 4-bit *port\_request* output connected to the Scheduler module of the switch. Each bit of the *port\_request* signal corresponds to a different virtual queue inside the buffer. The request bit corresponding to a certain queue is logic high, as long as that queue is not empty.

The VHDL source code for the modules *voq\_input* and LUT are included in Appendices C.2 and C.5, respectively. The package file used in *voq\_input.vhd* file is included in Appendix C.7.

## **Chapter 5**

### **The scheduler**

There is a centralized scheduler in the 4×4 switch that considers requests from all the input queues and determines the best realizable configuration for the crossbar. The scheduler's decision is determined by a scheduling algorithm. This scheduling algorithm has to be fast, efficient, easy to implement in hardware, and fair in serving all the inputs. There are various scheduling algorithms, some of which were explained earlier in Chapter 2. The scheduling module (voq\_c\_bar) in the 4×4 switch uses a crossbar scheduler architecture named Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA) [11].

DPA is a fair crossbar scheduler with a round robin priority rotation. The scheduling algorithm is based on a small combinational logic arbiter cell assigned to each input/output pair. When there is a request to send packets from a certain input port to a certain output port, the corresponding arbiter cell receives a request from the input. The arbiter then issues a grant for the requested output based on both the position of the priority round robin, and the grants issued to higher priority cells. For an  $n \times n$  switch, the maximum arbitration delay through the whole switch is  $(n-1)D$ , where  $D$  is a single gate delay. In our switch the arbitration delay is very small (maximum 4.5 ns) and does not limit the performance and speed of the switch.

The following sections first describe the basic two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter, and then the DPA architecture in detail. The basic two

dimensional ripple-carry arbiter forms the base of the DPA architecture, and should therefore be explained first.

### 5.1. Two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter

Figure 5.1 shows the architecture of a two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter for a 4×4 switch.




Figure 5.1: (a) Two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter. Bold cells are cells with request. (b) Two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter [11]. Bold cells are cells with request. Shaded cells are cells that have received grants.

In Figure 5.1, the rows correspond to the input ports and the columns correspond to the output ports of the switch. The arbiter is built from a number of smaller cells called arbiter cells. A sample arbiter cell with its internal combinational logic is shown in Figure 5.2. The label pairs  $i, j$  written on each cell specify the requests that are handled by that

specific cell. Specifically they indicate that the cell is responsible for handling packets destined to go from input port  $i$  to output port  $j$ .

Signal  $R$  (*Request*), shown in Figure 5.2, is an input to every  $i,j$  arbiter cell. It is active when there is a packet destined for output port  $j$  at the head of the input port  $i$  buffer. In our design, this means that there is a packet at the head of queue  $j$  of input port module  $i$ .

Signal  $G$  (*Grant*), which is an output from every  $i,j$  arbiter cell, is active when the request from input port  $i$  to output port  $j$  has been granted by the scheduler.




Figure 5.2: The basic arbiter cell with the combinational logic inside it [11]. A grant is issued when there is a request, and the arbiters on the top and on the left have not issued a grant.

Since each input can be sending (and each output can be receiving) only one packet at a time, there should never be two or more granted

requests in each row (and each column). For instance, having two requests granted in the same column at one time, causes that the output port corresponding to that column to receive two packets simultaneously. To ensure that this problem never occurs, signals  $N$  (*North*),  $S$  (*South*),  $W$  (*West*), and  $E$  (*East*), shown in Figure 5.2, are introduced. These signals in each cell have the duty of relaying to the next cell, or receiving from the former cell, whether a request has been granted. In the ripple-carry architecture shown in Figure 5.1, the  $E$  signal of every arbiter cell is connected to the  $W$  signal of the cell on its right. Similarly, the  $S$  signal of every arbiter cell is connected to the  $N$  signal of the cell on its bottom. (The  $W$  signal of cells in the first column and the  $N$  signal of cells in the first row are always set to logic one. The  $S$  signal of the cells in the last row and the  $E$  signal of the cells in the last column are floating). The simple logic circuit of Figure 5.2 shows that whenever a *Grant* signal is asserted for a cell, signals *South* and *East* are forced to logic low so that the cells on the right and bottom are never able to issue grants.

The arbitration process in the architecture of Figure 5.1 is based on the following algorithm:

- 1) Start from the top left most cell (i.e. 1, 1);



- 2) Once any cell is reached, move to its right and bottom cells (provided that they exist);
- 3) For each arbiter cell, the  $G$  (*Grant*) signal is activated if and only if the  $R$  (*Request*) signal is active and there has not been any requests granted in the cells at the top and to the left;
- 4) If a request is granted, activate the  $E$  (*East*) and  $S$  (*South*) signals.

In Figure 5.1(a), bold squares indicate that the corresponding cell has been requested and, in Figure 5.1(b), shaded squares show that the corresponding square has received a grant. When there exists two or more requests in the same row or column, only one of them (the one higher or on the left) is granted.

Assuming that each arbiter cell has a delay of  $D$ , then the time needed for realization of any permutation would be  $(2n-1)D$  for any  $n \times n$  arbiter. Hurt et al. have introduced a modified version of the two-dimensional arbiter that has a shorter arbitration delay [11]. This new design called the diagonal propagation arbiter (DPA) is described in the next section.

## **5.2. Diagonal propagation arbiter (DPA) architecture**

The key to the DPA design is that there are some cells in the two dimensional propagation arbiter (Figure 5.1) that are independent of one another, in the sense that granting one of them does not prevent granting the others. The cells that are independent of one another are put in diagonal rows, as shown in Figure 5.3 . For example, cells (1,1),

(4,2), (3,3) and (2,4) are independent of each other and so are the cells (2,1), (1,2), (4,3) and (3,4).




Figure 5.3: Fixed priority Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA) [11]. Bold squares indicate cells with requests. Shaded cells are cells that have received grants.

The arbitration process in the DPA architecture begins by considering the first diagonal. If there is a request for every cell in the first diagonal of Figure 5.3, they can all be granted. Then, in the next time slot, the arbitration process moves to the second diagonal. The cells with requests in the second diagonal will only receive grants if no cells on the top or on the left of them have yet received grants.

In this design, the arbitration delay for an  $n \times n$  switch is  $nD$ ,  $D$  being the delay of a single arbiter cell. This is smaller than the delay in the previous design (the two dimensional ripple-carry arbiter), which was  $(2n-1)D$ .

One issue that stands out in both ripple-carry and DPA architectures is the issue of unfairness. The ripple-carry design gives the priority to the cells that are higher and to the left. Specifically, it gives the highest priority to cell (1,1). Similarly, in the DPA architecture the highest priority is always given to the cells in the first diagonal. Therefore, these two designs are not fair. Optimally one should be able to rotate the priority so that every cell has the chance of being the highest priority cell.

One solution to this problem could be to make a cyclic architecture by connecting the *South* signals of the cells in the last row (diagonal) to the *North* signals of the cells in the first row (diagonal). Similarly, the *East* signals of the last column have to be connected to the *West* signals of the first column.

Such an architecture would be fair because every cell can have the opportunity to be the highest priority cell. However, this architecture suffers from the fundamental problem of having a “combinational feedback loop”. Such architectures are difficult to design and test; they are not very well supported by logic synthesis tools and they have to be carefully simulated at the physical layout level.

To overcome the problems accompanying the cyclic feedback architecture and to be able to, at the same time, rotate the priorities, Hurt et al. have found a solution. In this new architecture, shown in Figure 5.4, the first  $(n-1)$  diagonals of an  $n \times n$  DPA scheduler are repeated

after the last row. The  $W$  signals of the first column and the  $N$  signals of the first diagonal are assigned to logic one. This architecture removes the need for a cyclic feedback. At every time slot only  $n^2$  cells (marked by the  $n \times n$  bold window shown in Figure 5.4) are active. We call the bold window “the active window”. The cells on the first diagonal inside the active window have the highest priority. The active window moves one step down in every time slot to rotate the priority. When the top most diagonal is diagonal  $n$ , the active window has traveled all the way through the DPA scheduler and, therefore, goes back to its starting position shown in Figure 5.4.

To implement priority rotations in this design, vector  $P$  is introduced. The  $(2n-1)$  elements of vector  $P$  are named  $p_r$ . They correspond to the  $(2n-1)$  diagonals of the scheduler in Figure 5.4. When the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of this vector is equal to 1, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal of the arbiter is active, (and resides in the active window). The algorithm for priority rotations is:

```

set  $P = "1111000"$ .
if  $P = "0001111"$  then
    set  $P = "1111000"$ 
else
    rotate  $P$  one position to the right. (This step is like moving
    the window one step down.)

```

Figure 5.5 shows the arbiter cell of the rotating priority DPA. This arbiter is somewhat different from the basic arbiter cell introduced earlier. The difference is a signal called “Mask” (identical to the elements of vector  $P$ ,

$p_r$ ) that indicates whether the arbiter cell is in the active zone. If the *Mask* input of a cell is logic 0, then there are no *Grants* given to that cell, and therefore, *E* and *S* signals shown in Figure 5.5 are forced to logic 1. The additional gates (one AND and two ORs) ensure that every request only takes effect if *Mask* is logic high.




Figure 5.4: Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA) [11]. Shaded cells are cells that received grants, when the cells with requests are the bold squares and the highest priority is given to the first diagonal.

Figure 5.4 shows the cells that received grants (shaded cells) when the cells with requests are the bold squares, and the highest priority is given

to the first diagonal. Figure 5.6 shows a similar example only with the highest priority given to the third diagonal.




Figure 5.5: Modified arbitration cell for diagonal propagation arbiter (DPA) architecture [11] .




Figure 5.6: Diagonal Propagation Arbiter (DPA) [11]. Shaded cells are cells that received grants, when the cells with requests are the bold squares and the highest priority is given to the third diagonal.

The input to the scheduler block in our switch is a 16 bit vector. The elements of this vector correspond to the 16 possible requests in a 4×4 switch. This input vector is constructed from the request lines that come from each input port module. The output of the scheduler is also a 16 bit vector. This vector's elements are the grants issued by the scheduler. This array constructs the control lines of the fabric.

In our *voq\_c\_bar* module, the priority vector  $P$  rotates on the rising edge of the *c\_bar\_clock* (the internal clock with the period of a packet time). The *requests* coming from the input port modules are also sent to the scheduler on the rising edge of the *c\_bar\_clock*. Therefore, for a whole packet time, the *request* and *grant* signals remain constant and a whole packet is de-queued from the input buffers.

Appendix C.3 contains the VHDL source code for the *voq\_c\_bar* module.

## Chapter 6

### The fabric

The crossbar fabric module in the design (shown in figure 6.1) is responsible for physically connecting an input port to its destined output port, based on the grants issued by the scheduler. The inputs of `voq_fabric` (except for the `cntrl` input) are connected to the input port modules of our switch. The outputs of `voq_fabric` are connected to the output ports of the switch. The fabric makes the appropriate connection between each input and its corresponding output.




Figure 6.1: Crossbar fabric module in our switch is the physical connection between the input and output ports of the switch.

The signals going through the fabric are: data bytes, frame pulse signals, `data_valid` signals, and the two-bit input port numbers. Each input to `voq_fabric` (except for `input_data` and `cntrl`) is a 4-bit wide signal, where



each bit comes from a different input port module. For example, in case of the 4-bit *input\_fp* input of *voq\_fabric*, *input\_fp(0)* comes from input port module 1, *input\_fp(1)* comes from input port module 2, et cetera. The *input\_data* input of *voq\_fabric* however, consists of 4 parallel “bytes”, rather than bits. Similarly, each byte comes from a different input port module. The *cntrl* input of *voq\_fabric* is 16 bits wide and is connected to the *grant* output signal of the scheduler. This *cntrl* signal configures the fabric and makes the necessary connections. This is described in more detail later.

Imagine a 4×4 crossbar similar to the one shown in Figure 6.2. Every four bit input signal of the *voq\_fabric* module passes through a similar crossbar. In each crossbar, the 4 horizontal buses (rows) are connected to the 4 bits of a certain input of the *voq\_fabric*. Similarly, the 4 vertical buses (columns) of the crossbar are connected to the 4 bits of a certain *voq\_fabric* output. For example *input\_fp(0)* is connected to the first input of a certain crossbar, *input\_fp(1)* is connected to the second input of the same crossbar, et cetera. Therefore, ignoring the *input\_data* input for a moment, four copies of the crossbar are needed for the other four inputs of *voq\_fabric*. Since *input\_data* is a 4×8 bit input signal, it requires eight copies of such a crossbar. Therefore, in the *voq\_fabric* module, a sum of twelve crossbars pass the eight bit data bytes and the other four inputs .

In every crossbar the cross points are controlled by the *cntrl* input of the *voq\_fabric* module (Figure 6.3). Each bit of the *cntrl* input corresponds to one of the cross points of the crossbar. If a certain *cntrl* bit is logic high, then the corresponding cross point is closed. The *inputi* and *outputi*

signals, shown in Figure 6.3, stand for the inputs and outputs of voq\_fabric.




Figure 6.2: A 4×4 crossbar. The horizontal lines are connected to the inputs and the vertical lines are connected to the outputs of the voq\_fabric module.




Figure 6.3: Crossbar for the voq\_fabric module. Each bit of *cntrl* input corresponds to a certain cross point in the crossbar.

The diagram of Figure 6.3 performs the following procedure: Each bit of the output is the logical sum (OR) of *input<sub>i</sub>*'s bits 0 to 3 AND'd with the *cntrl* lines in that output bit's column. For example (see Figures 6.4 and 6.5),

$$\text{output\_fp}(2) = [ ( \text{input\_fp}(0) \text{ AND } \text{cntrl}(2) ) \text{ OR } ( \text{input\_fp}(1) \text{ AND } \text{cntrl}(6) ) \text{ OR } ( \text{input\_fp}(2) \text{ AND } \text{cntrl}(10) ) \text{ OR } ( \text{input\_fp}(3) \text{ AND } \text{cntrl}(14) ) ]$$




Figure 6.4: The *output<sub>fp</sub>(2)* is the logical sum of *input<sub>fp</sub>* bits AND'd with corresponding *cntrl* bits.

Figure 6.5 shows the 12 crossbars in the *voq\_fabric* module. One copy of the crossbar is needed to connect the frame pulse lines from the input port modules to the output ports of the switch. Another copy of the crossbar is used for *data<sub>valid</sub>* signals. In order to connect the outgoing data bytes from the input port modules to the *data<sub>out\_port</sub>* lines of the switch, 8 copies of the crossbar are used. Finally, two copies of the

crossbar are used to construct the source port number signals available at the outputs of the switch. Two constant vectors are input to the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> inputs of the fabric. Depending on what the *cntrl* input of the *voq\_fabric* is (which cross points are closed), certain bits of the constant vectors can pass through the crossbars. The values appearing on the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> output ports of *voq\_fabric*, are the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 0<sup>th</sup> bits of the origin port numbers of the outgoing data bytes, respectively.




Figure 6.5: The 12 copies of crossbar used in the *voq\_fabric* module. The *cntrl* input configures the crossbars.




Figure 6.6: The crossbar used to pass the *data\_valid* signals through the fabric.




Figure 6.7: The crossbar used to pass the 3<sup>rd</sup> bit of the data bytes through the fabric.

The VHDL source code for the `voq_fabric` module is enclosed in Appendix C.4.

## Chapter 7

### Device information and simulation results

#### 7.1. Device information

We implemented the design in VHDL using ALTERA MAX+PLUS II tool [2] and its FLEX10KE device family FPGA's [1]. Currently, the whole project “voq\_switch” utilizes one ALTERA FLEX10KE device. FLEX8000, MAX9000, and FLEX10KB ALTERA device families do not support more than 256 words of memory and this design could not fit on them. The behavioral VHDL description of this design is placed and routed on a FLEX10KE device by the MAX+PLUS II tool. A detailed device summary for this project is given in Table 7.1. This table shows that 28% of the available memory and 70% of the available logic cells (LC's) are utilized.

Project	Device	Input pins	Output ins	Bidir pins	Memory bits	Memory % utilized	LC's	LC's % utilized
Voq_switch	EPF10K200SRC240-1	39	125	0	28224	28 %	6990	70%

Table 7.1: Summary of the gates and logic cells used for the crossbar switch.

We tested the functionality of each block, as well as the overall switch design via simulations and observed a correct functional and timing performance. The simulations were run on a PC platform with a 450

MHz Pentium III processor and a Windows NT operating system. The compilation time for the overall switch lasted two hours.

The maximum achieved clock rate for this design on the EPF10K200SRC240-1 device is 16.6 MHz. The Timing Analyzer tool in MAX+PLUS II calculates this value based on the longest path in the design. On a different device, the clock rate could be either larger or smaller, depending on the device technology and the way MAX+PLUS II places and routes the design on the device. (FLEX10KE has a 0.22 micron CMOS technology). Pipelining, i.e., dividing the switch data path to multiple sections and connecting a separate clock input to each, can also increase the highest achievable clock rate.

We ran the simulations for 300  $\mu$ sec and observed that the switch is capable of switching 4 input lines at the rate of 132.8 Mbps into 4 output lines at the same rate. The packets are successfully routed and sent out of the switch. Eight bits of data are input to the switch at every clock cycle, and hence the line rate of 132.8 Mbps is resulted from a 16.6 MHz clock. It takes 53 clock cycles (3.18  $\mu$ sec) for a single ATM packet to enter the switch and in our 300  $\mu$ sec simulations close to 95 packets were input. The simulations lasted a couple of minutes in real time.

The traffic applied to this switch was a constant bit rate traffic with uniform distribution over all the input ports. The switch was not tested for other traffic types. The output data stream and the performance of the switch would be different for non-constant traffic distributions. For



instance, the buffers would have a higher overflow probability for traffic that occurs in bursts. Furthermore, cells entering the switch in a burst and destined for a common output can be delayed in the switch and can leave the switch with longer inter-packet times, due to the priority rotations in the fair round robin scheduler module.

## **7.2. Simulation results**

We tested the functionality of every component in the switch via simulations. The simulation results are shown in Appendix D. The following sections give a detailed explanation of the simulation results.

### **7.2.1. Simulation results of the switch (Appendix D.1)**

Appendix D.1 shows the results of a certain simulation of the switch that was run for 75  $\mu$ sec (longer simulations were run as well, but have not been included in this document). Appendix D.1 shows a two-page overview of what is happening in this simulation.

In Appendix D.1, one notes that 9 packets are input to every data input of the switch (there are 9 frame pulses on the input *fp* lines). On the *data\_out\_port* lines these packets are seen coming out of the output ports. The simulation data going to all of the inputs is the same, but according to the position of the priority round robin in the scheduler, only certain inputs are allowed to send their packet to their desired output port at any given time. For every packet, the origin port number (*incoming\_port\_to\_output*) is output as well. Note that data on the output ports is only valid if the corresponding *data\_valid* line is high. Also, note

that the output frame pulse signals (*fp\_out\_port*) mark the beginning of outgoing packets. The headers of the input test packets are set in such a way that, among the 9 packets that enter each input port, there are two packets destined for each of the 4 outputs and the last packet has an unknown destination. By default, in this design, when a packet has an unknown destination -i.e. when the header does not exist in the look up table- the packet is sent to output 1 of the switch and its VCI bytes are set to zero. Appendix D.1 shows that there are 8 packets coming out of each output port (2 packets from each input). Output 1, however, is sending out 12 packets (2 packets from 2 different inputs, and 1 unknown packet from each of the inputs).

The *request*, *grant*, and *c\_bar\_P* (the priority vector) output lines are not among the real output ports of the switch. They are only probed for testing and simulation reasons. The look-up table in all the input port modules of this switch is initialized with the same values for simplicity reasons. These values are shown in Table 7.2.

input VCI	output VCI	output port number
7080	E963	2
3747	56C9	2
0E1E	B9E0	3
D3E3	210A	4
AABA	5BED	1
C4D4	FA23	3
6171	0104	4
2838	FFFF	1

Table 7.2: The input VCI, output VCI, and output port numbers stored in the look-up table module of the switch.

As described in earlier chapters, the switch has an internal clock that runs 59 times slower than the input clock. The period of this internal clock is actually equal to a packet time. At the rising edge of this clock, the priority vector is shifted in the crossbar scheduler and also a request is sent out from the input port modules to the scheduler.

Table 7.3 provides a summary of the simulation results of Appendix D.1. We have filled the first 6 columns of this table with the input data, and have predicted the values of certain signals, registers and output ports, in the rest of the columns. Later, these parameters were compared with the simulation results and equal values were observed.

Every packet coming to the data input ports of the switch is shown on a separate line in Table 7.3. There are 9 packets arriving at the input ports one after the other. For every arriving packet we have shown the first four bytes, and the last byte. The second, third, and fourth bytes of the packet are stored in the *vci\_in\_vector* register. Bits 4 to 19 of this vector contain the VCI information of the packet. This VCI value is searched in the look-up table (shown in Table 7.2) and the output VCI, together with the output port number, is determined. The *output\_vci* value replaces the *input\_vci* part (bits 4 to 19) of the *vci\_in\_vector* and is stored in the *vci\_out\_vector* register. When the packet is being sent out from the destination output port, the bytes of *vci\_out\_vector* are sent out in place of bytes 2, 3, and 4 of the packet. This effect is shown in the last columns of the table, where the first four and the last byte of the outgoing packet are shown. Note that for the last packet, because the

*input\_vci* is not in the look-up table, the *output\_vci* and the *vci\_out\_vector* are set to zero and the destination port number is set to 1.

input data byte stream						vci_in_vector	input_vci	output_vci	output port no.	vci_out_vector	output data byte stream					
byte1	byte2	byte3	byte4	byte5	last byte						byte1	byte2	byte3	byte4	byte5	last byte
06	07	08	09	0A	3A	070809	7080	E963	2	0E9639	06	0E	96	39	0A	3A
3C	3D	3E	3F	40	70	3D3E3F	D3E3	210A	4	3210AF	3C	32	10	AF	40	70
72	73	74	75	76	A7	737475	3747	56C9	2	756C95	72	75	6C	95	76	A7
A9	AA	AB	AC	AD	DD	AAABAC	AABA	5BED	1	A5BEDC	A9	A5	BE	DC	AD	DD
DF	E0	E1	E2	E3	13	E0E1E2	0E1E	B9E0	3	EB9E02	DF	EB	9E	02	E3	13
15	16	17	18	1A	49	161718	6171	0104	4	101048	15	10	10	48	19	49
4B	4C	4D	4E	4F	7F	4C4D4E	C4D4	FA23	3	4FA23E	4B	4F	A2	3E	4F	7F
81	82	83	84	85	B5	828384	2838	FFFF	1	8FFFF4	81	8F	FF	F4	85	B5
B7	B8	B9	BA	BB	EB	B8B9BA	8B9B	0000	0	000000	B7	00	00	00	BB	BB

Table 7.3: Details of simulation results shown in Appendix D.1. Nine packets are sent to every input port of the switch. For every incoming packet, the table shows what the expected outgoing packet should be.

We looked at the output data lines of the switch in our simulation and verified that every packet is indeed being output from the output port number for which it was destined (shown in Table 7.3). Also, the second, third, and fourth byte of every outgoing packet was the same as the *vci\_out\_vector* predicted in Table 7.3. Furthermore, Appendix D.1 shows that the incoming port number changes in a round robin manner to serve all the input ports.

### **7.2.2. Simulation results of the input port module (Appendix D.2)**

We tested the functionality of all the components of the switch via extensive simulations. As an example, Appendix D.2 shows the simulation results for the “voq\_input” module of the switch. Some internal signals are also probed here for testing and validation purposes. The values of the linked lists, next registers, read/write addresses, counter values, enable signals, state variables, et cetera., are some examples of such internal signals. The accurate performance of the input module state machines discussed earlier in Chapter 3 was verified, based on the value of these signals. Note that the destination port number runs from 0 to 3 to indicate output ports 1 to 4.

This simulation, also tested the voq\_input module for overflow. We disable the de-queue process -by not providing any nonzero grant inputs- and see that after 16 packets arrive, the input module does not write any more packets into the buffer. The packets that arrive at the input ports after the buffer is full are simply dropped. The overflow occurs at around 75  $\mu$ sec (in this simulation) when the last packet is written. Since no grant is issued, no packet is de-queued and therefore the buffer is filled and all the *ready\_flags* are high.

## **Conclusion and future work**

This thesis project, used a hardware description language called VHDL to implement a 4×4 ATM crossbar switch.

The 4×4 switch designed herein has three modules: “voq\_input”, “voq\_c\_bar”, and “voq\_fabric”. The voq\_input module employs an existing algorithm called virtual output queuing (VOQ). The design of this module and the queue management scheme was described in Chapter 4. The voq\_c\_bar module discussed in Chapter 5 is a fair scheduler with an architecture called “diagonal propagation arbiter” (DPA). The voq\_fabric module comprises the crossbar fabric of our switch and provides the physical connection between the inputs and outputs of the switch. The voq\_fabric module was outlined in Chapter 6.

The contributions of this project are:

- A novel design and VHDL implementation of an input port module employing the VOQ algorithm;
- VHDL implementation of the DPA algorithm;
- A novel design and VHDL implementation of a crossbar fabric;
- A novel composition of the modules into a 4×4 ATM switch and VHDL implementation of it.

This design implementation entailed the employment of MAX+PLUS II software tool from ALTERA. Upon testing the functionality of the switch through simulations, satisfactory functional and timing performances were observed. The switch functions at a line rate of 132.8 Mbps with a

maximum clock frequency of 16.6 MHz. This design can fit on a single FLEX10KE ALTERA chip.

I started this project together with Arash Haidari. We designed an 8×8 version of the scheduler, a fabric that handled serial bits, and input port modules with FIFO queues [10]. Our design could fit on 6 FLEX10KE FPGA's, partly due to large pin numbers. Later, I designed an output port module for the 8×8 switch (presented in Appendix B), which is not included in the current switch design.

I made further additions and improvements to the design. I designed a new input port module that employs VOQ's to prevent head of line (HOL) blocking. In order to achieve higher line rates in the new input port module, input data is in the form of parallel bytes (rather than serial bits). I also designed a new fabric that handles parallel data bytes. I scaled the design down to 4×4 because the compilation time for the overall design was too long (over 3 hours). The compilation time for the 4×4 switch is roughly the same, however four dynamic queues with their linked list logic have been added to each input port. An 8×8 switch with eight dynamic queues in each input port would have been a much bigger design and would have resulted in even higher compilation times.

One future plan for this project is to design output port modules with congestion control, policing, or priority mechanisms. An ATM switch should not send its packets out to the network unless there is consent from the down stream nodes. Otherwise congestion can occur in the network, or packets can be dropped due to buffer overflow at the

destination node. Implementation of algorithms that would handle the communication between the network and the switch is mainly done in output port modules. For example, a window based flow control design at the output port module can prevent bursts of data from entering the network. Implementation of such algorithms in an output port module is the next step for this project.

Implementing the design on an ALTERA FLEX10KE chip and hardware testing and verification of the switch is another future plan. Finally, simulation and synthesis with Synopsys tools, gate level design, layout and manufacturing of the switch chip could be done.



## References

[1] ALTERA FLEX 10KE devices:

<http://www.altera.com/html/products/f10ke.html>

[2] ALTERA MAX+PLUS II software,

<http://www.altera.com/html/tools/maxplus.html>

[3] T. E. Anderson, S. S. Owicki, J. B. Saxe, and C. P. Thacker, "High speed switch scheduling for local area networks," *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, pp. 319-352, November 1993.

[4] M. Chen and N. D. Georganas, "A fast algorithm for multi-channel/port traffic scheduling," *Proc. IEEE Supercom/ICC '94*, New Orleans, Louisiana, May 1994, pp. 96-100.

[5] H. S. Chi and Y. Tamir, "Decomposed arbiters for large crossbars with multi-queue input buffers," *Proc. of International Conference on Computer Design*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, October 1991, pp. 233-238.

[6] S. T. Chuang, A. Goel, N. McKeown, and B. Prabhakar, "Matching output queuing with a combined input output queued switch," *Computer Systems Technical Report CSL-TR-98-758*, March 1998.

- [7] J. Duato, S. Yalamanchili, and L. Ni, *Interconnection Networks: An Engineering Approach*, Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1997, pp. 17-28.
- [8] ForeRunner ASX-200:  
<http://www.marconi.com/html/solutions/asx200bxasx1000andasx1200.htm>
- [9] J. Giacomelli, J. Hickey, W. Marcus, W. Sincoskie, and M. Littlewood, "Sunshine: A high-performance self routing broadband packet switch architecture," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp.1289-1298, October 1991.
- [10] A. Haidari-Khabbaz, "Hardware implementation of a high-speed crossbar switch," B.A.Sc. Thesis, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, November 2000.
- [11] J. Hurt, A. May, X. Zhu, and B. Lin, "Design and implementation of high-speed symmetric crossbar schedulers," *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC'99)*, Vancouver, Canada, June 1999, pp. 253-258.
- [12] M. J. Karol, M. G. Hluchyj, and S. P. Morgan, "Input versus output queuing on a space-division packet switch," *IEEE Transactions on Communication*, vol. COM-35, no. 12, pp. 1347-1356, December 1987.
- [13] M. Katevenis, D. Serpanos, and P. Vatsolaki, "ATLAS I: A General-Purpose, Single-Chip ATM Switch with Credit-Based Flow Control", *Proc.*

*of the Hot Interconnects IV Symposium*, Palo Alto, California, August 1996, pp. 63-73

[14] S. Keshav, *An Engineering Approach to Computer Networking*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley, January 1998, pp. 47-64.

[15] N. McKeown, "The iSLIP scheduling algorithm for input-queued switches," *IEEE Transactions on Networking*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 188-201, April 1999.

[16] N. McKeown, V. Anamtharam, and J. Warland, "Achieving 100% throughput in an input-queued switch," *Proc. INFOCOM'96*, San Francisco, March 1996, pp. 296-302.

[17] N. McKeown and T. E. Anderson, "A quantitative comparison of scheduling algorithms for input-queued switches," *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, vol. 30, no. 24, pp. 2309-2326, Dec. 1998.

[18] N. McKeown, M. Izzard, A. Mekkittikul, B. Ellersick, and M. Horowitz, "The Tiny Tera: A small, high bandwidth network switch," *IEEE Micro*, January/February 1997, pp. 26-33.


[19] N. McKeown, P. Varaiya, J. Warland, "Scheduling cells in an input-queued switch," *Electronic Letters*, no. 25, pp. 2174-2175, Dec. 1993.

- [20] A. Mekkittikul and N. McKeown, "A practical scheduling algorithm to achieve 100% throughput in input-queued switches," *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM* 1998, vol. 2, Apr. 1998, San Francisco, pp. 792-799.
- [21] A. Mekkittikul and N. McKeown, "A starvation-free algorithm for achieving 100% throughput in an input-queued switch," *Proc. ICCCN'96*, Washington D.C., October 1996, pp. 226-231.
- [22] Y. Tamir and H.C. Chi, "Symmetric crossbar arbiters for VLSI communication switches," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 13-27, January 1993.
- [23] Y. Tamir and G. L. Frazier, "Dynamically-allocated multi-queue buffers for VLSI communication switches," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 725-737, June 1992.
- [24] F. A. Tobagi, "Fast packet switch architectures for broadband integrated services digital networks," *Proc. of the IEEE*, vol. 78, January 1990, pp. 133-178.
- [25] J. Turner and N. Yamanaka, "Architectural choices in large scale ATM switches," *IEICE Transactions in Communications*, vol. E81-B, no. 2, pp.120-137, February 1998.
- [26] Y. Yeh, M.G. Hluchyj, and A. S. Acampora "The knockout switch: A simple, modular architecture for high-performance packet switching,"

*IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. SAC-5, no. 8, Oct. 1987.


[27] E. W. Zegura, "Architectures for ATM switching systems," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, pp. 28-37, Feb. 1993.

# Appendix A. Detailed schematic of the switch with its internal connections



## Appendix B. Sample output port module

This Appendix describes a sample output module that can be implemented at the output ports of our switch. This output port module can be used to reassemble the packets and store them until they are allowed to enter the network.



The VHDL source code for the output port module shown above is in Appendix C (“output\_fifo” project). The simulation results for this project are included in Appendix D.

This module was designed earlier for an 8×8 version of our switch. In the 8×8 version, the scheduler operated independently from the rest of the components. The scheduler clock used to be unsynchronized with the rest of the switch. Therefore, grant signals could be issued or changed at any moment. The grants could therefore change in the middle of switching a packet, causing the packet to be partially switched. As a result, the packets had to be reconstructed at the output ports. That is one of the functionalities of the output port modules.

The data packets exiting the switch stay in the FIFO queues of the output port modules, based on where they originated. Packets that are coming from input one, for example, are sent to FIFO0, and those coming from input 4 are stored in FIFO3.

The output port modules have been designed, simulated and tested separately. A detailed device summary for an output port is given in Table B.1. This table shows that an output port modules fits into two Flex10KE devices. It utilizes 15% of the available LC's and 66% of the available memory. The high memory utilization was expected because each output port module contains 8 separate queues for the data coming from the 8 input ports.

Chip/ POF	Device	Input pins	Output pins	Bird pins	Memory bits	Memory % Utilized	LC's	LC's % Utilized
output_fifo	EPF10K200S BC356-1	28	201	0	98304	100%	1496	14%
Output_fifo1	EPF10K50ET C144-1	18	65	0	32768	80%	528	18%
TOTAL		46	266	0	131072	66%	2024	15%

Table B.1. Device summary for the “output\_fifo” project. This project fits into two FLEX10KE devices.



Output port modules are the blocks where congestion control, flow control, or policing algorithms can be implemented. An ATM switch can only send its packets out to the network if there is consent from the down stream node. Otherwise congestion can occur in the network, or packets can be dropped due to buffer overflow at the destination node. Implementation of algorithms that would handle the communication of the network and the switch is mainly done in output port modules. For example, a window based flow control design at the output module can prevent bursts of data into the network. Implementation of such algorithms is not a part of this project; therefore the de-queue process for the output module has not been implemented. Various priority algorithms, or any flow control scheme could be implemented for the de-queue process.

## **Appendix C. VHDL source code for the switch and its components**

## Appendix C.1. voq\_switch.vhd

### The VHDL source code for the 4×4 switch

```
-- voq_switch.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Laboratory. Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This file is The VHDL source code for a 4x4 ATM switch
-- The switch is composed of 4 input port modules (voq_input),
-- one scheduler module (voq_c_bar), and one fabric module.

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_unsigned.ALL;

LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;

USE work.voq_input_package.ALL;

ENTITY voq_switch IS
    PORT (
        fp1           : IN STD_LOGIC;  --input frame pulse lines
        fp2           : IN STD_LOGIC;
        fp3           : IN STD_LOGIC;
        fp4           : IN STD_LOGIC;

        data_in1      : IN BYTE;        --input data byte lines
        data_in2      : IN BYTE;
        data_in3      : IN BYTE;
        data_in4      : IN BYTE;

        global_reset  : IN STD_LOGIC;  --Resets all the counters,
registers and the buffer
        reset         : IN STD_LOGIC;  --Resets everything but the
buffer
        clock         : IN STD_LOGIC;

        fp_out_port1 : OUT STD_LOGIC;  --output frame pulse lines
        fp_out_port2 : OUT STD_LOGIC;
        fp_out_port3 : OUT STD_LOGIC;
```

```

        fp_out_port4  : OUT STD_LOGIC;

        data_out_port1 : OUT BYTE;      --output data_lines
        data_out_port2 : OUT BYTE;
        data_out_port3 : OUT BYTE;
        data_out_port4 : OUT BYTE;

        data_valid1    : OUT STD_LOGIC; --output data_valid lines
        data_valid2    : OUT STD_LOGIC;
        data_valid3    : OUT STD_LOGIC;
        data_valid4    : OUT STD_LOGIC;

        --Source port number
        incoming_port_to_output1 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (2
DOWNTO 0);
        incoming_port_to_output2 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (2
DOWNTO 0);
        incoming_port_to_output3 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (2
DOWNTO 0);
        incoming_port_to_output4 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (2
DOWNTO 0);

        --Priority vector output for simulation purpose
        P          : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (7 DOWNTO 1);
        --request to scheduler and grant coming from scheduler for simulation
        request    : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(16 DOWNTO 1);

        grant      : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(16 DOWNTO 1)

    );

END voq_switch;

```

ARCHITECTURE structure OF voq\_switch IS

```

    COMPONENT voq_input

        GENERIC(
            --Port is set to handle packets of size 53 bytes
            PACKET_SIZE      : INTEGER:= 53;

            --Counter 53 is a 6 bit counter so it can service packets upto 64 bytes long
            COUNTER_53_SIZE : INTEGER:= 6;

            --Each data byte is 8 bits long
            DATA_SIZE       : INTEGER:= 8;

```

```

--Number of words in buffer
    BUFFER_SIZE : INTEGER:= 848;
    BUFFER_WIDTHU : INTEGER:= 10; --Recommended value is
    CEIL(LOG2(FIFO_SIZE))
    NO_OF_BLOCKS : INTEGER:= 16; --Has to be
BUFFER_SIZE/PACKET_SIZE
    NO_OF_QUEUES : INTEGER:= 4; --This value has to be
equal to the number of output ports
    NO_OF_PORTS : INTEGER:= 4;
    VCI_VECTOR_SIZE : INTEGER:= 24; --Each VCI is 2 Bytes
    VCI_SIZE : INTEGER:= 16;
    OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE : INTEGER:= 2; --2 bits used to address an
output port

    LUT_OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE : INTEGER := 4; -- LUT output port
number size

    TRANSLATION_TABLE: STRING := "lut1.mif"
);

PORT(
    data_in : IN BYTE; --STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (DATA_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0); --Input serial data to the port
    clock : IN STD_LOGIC; --Input clock to the port
    fp : IN STD_LOGIC; --Input frame pulse to the port
    global_reset : IN STD_LOGIC; --Resets all the counters,
registers and the FIFO
    reset : IN STD_LOGIC; --Resets everything but the FIFO
    port_grant : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNT0 0); --The grant
vector for the port
    port_request : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNT0 0); --The request
vector for the port
    c_bar_clock : OUT STD_LOGIC;
    data_out_fp : OUT STD_LOGIC; --frame pulse showing the
beginning of the data being shifted out
    data_valid : OUT STD_LOGIC; --is 1 when FIFO is dequeuing
data (i.e. a grant is issued for the port)
    parallel_data_out : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0) --the byte of data going out
);

END COMPONENT;

COMPONENT voq_c_bar
    GENERIC (

```

```

NO_OF_PORTS: INTEGER := 4;
-- NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ: INTEGER := 16;--Has to be NO_OF_PORTS^2
PRIO_VEC_SIZE: INTEGER := 7 --Has to be [2(NO_OF_PORTS)-1]
    );

PORT(
    arb_req      : IN    std_logic_vector(NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ DOWNT0 1);
    clk, reset   : IN std_logic;
    grant        : OUT std_logic_vector(NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ DOWNT0 1);
    P            : OUT std_logic_vector(7 DOWNT0 1)
);
END COMPONENT;

```

COMPONENT voq\_fabric is

```

GENERIC(
    SWITCH_SIZE : INTEGER:= 4;           --4x4 fabric by default
    GRANT_SIZE  : INTEGER:= 16          --16 lines used to issue grants
);

```

PORT(

```

--inputs 4, 5, and 6 are made by bits from a constant matrix that is formed by
input port numbers outputs 4, 5, and 6 help make the incoming_port_to_output(i)s of
the switch
    input0 : IN DATA_VECTOR; --The 4 input data lines of type
std_logic_vector(DATASIZE-1 DOWNT0 0)
    input1 : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The 4
data_valid lines going to the fabric
    input2 : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
LSBs of input_port_name
    input3 : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
MSB of input_port_name
    input4 : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The 4
input frame pulse lines
    cntrl  : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(GRANT_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
grant vector used to control the fabric
    output0 : OUT DATA_VECTOR; --The 4 output data lines of type
std_logic_vecotr(DATASIZE-1 DOWNT0 0)
    output1 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --
data_valid lines
    output2 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
LSBs of port_name out of the fabric
    output3 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
MSB of port_name out of the fabric

```

```
        output4 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0) --the
4 output frame pulses for the 8 output ports
    );
```

```
END COMPONENT;
```

```
SIGNAL arb_req_signal: STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (16 DOWNT0 1);
```

```
--grant_signal connects the grant output of the c_bar scheduler, which is a 64
bit vector, to the cntrl input  of the fabric.
```

```
SIGNAL grant_signal : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (16 DOWNT0 1); --the grant signal
coming from the scheduler
```

```
SIGNAL input_data    : DATA_VECTOR; --Connects data_out coming out of port
to fabric input
```

```
--output_data connects the fabric data output (output(i)) to output data line of the
switch ((data_out_port(i))
```

```
SIGNAL output_data  : DATA_VECTOR;
```

```
--input_fp connects the outgoing data's fp coming from the input port
(data_out_fp) to the fabric input
```

```
SIGNAL input_fp      : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNT0 1);
```

```
--output_fp connects the fabric frame pulse output (output2) to frame pulse
output of the switch ((fp_out_port(i))
```

```
SIGNAL output_fp     : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNT0 1);
```

```
--Reset signal for crossbar scheduler
```

```
SIGNAL resetb :STD_LOGIC;
```

```
SIGNAL input_port_name_bits1 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNT0 0); --Will be
hard coded to "1100"
```

```
SIGNAL input_port_name_bits0 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNT0 0);--Will be
hard coded to "1010"
```

```
SIGNAL source_to_output1    : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(1 DOWNT0 0); --used to
build the source port number (incoming_port_to_output1)
```

```
SIGNAL source_to_output2    : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(1 DOWNT0 0); --used to
build the source port number (incoming_port_to_output2)
```

```
SIGNAL source_to_output3 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(1 DOWNTO 0); --used to
build the source port number (incoming_port_to_output3)
```

```
SIGNAL source_to_output4 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(1 DOWNTO 0); --used to
build the source port number (incoming_port_to_output4)
```

```
--Signals needed to carry control info to the output ports
```

```
--data_valid_signal connects output3 of the fabric to data_valid(i), which is the
output of the switch
```

```
SIGNAL data_valid_signal : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNTO 1);
```

```
SIGNAL data_valid_to_fabric : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNTO 1);--
```

```
Connects data_valid coming from each port to input3 going to the fabric.
```

```
SIGNAL port_name_bit0 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNTO 1);--Connected
to the output4 of the fabric
```

```
SIGNAL port_name_bit1 : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (4 DOWNTO 1);--Connected
to the output5 of the fabric
```

```
SIGNAL packet_clock : STD_LOGIC;
```

```
BEGIN
```

```
--Output data lines of the switch are constructed here
```

```
--output_data is a vector that connects outgoing data from the fabric to
outgoing data of the switch
```

```
data_out_port1 <= output_data(0);
```

```
data_out_port2 <= output_data(1);
```

```
data_out_port3 <= output_data(2);
```

```
data_out_port4 <= output_data(3);
```

```
--Outgoing frame pulse lines of the switch are constructed here
```

```
--output_fp is a vector that connects the outgoing frame pulse from the
fabric to outgoing fp of the switch
```

```
fp_out_port1 <= output_fp(1);
```

```
fp_out_port2 <= output_fp(2);
```

```
fp_out_port3 <= output_fp(3);
```

```
fp_out_port4 <= output_fp(4);
```

```
data_valid1 <= data_valid_signal(1);
```

```
data_valid2 <= data_valid_signal(2);
```

```
data_valid3 <= data_valid_signal(3);
```



```

data_valid4 <= data_valid_signal(4);

source_to_output1 <= port_name_bit1(1) & port_name_bit0(1);
source_to_output2 <= port_name_bit1(2) & port_name_bit0(2);
source_to_output3 <= port_name_bit1(3) & port_name_bit0(3);
source_to_output4 <= port_name_bit1(4) & port_name_bit0(4);

incoming_port_to_output1 <= source_to_output1 + "001";
incoming_port_to_output2 <= source_to_output2 + "001";
incoming_port_to_output3 <= source_to_output3 + "001";
incoming_port_to_output4 <= source_to_output4 + "001";

```

--These vectors are connected to the fabric and according to the configuration of the fabric and the grants that are given, the number of the input port that was granted a request comes to the output of the fabric

```

input_port_name_bits1 <= "1100";
input_port_name_bits0 <= "1010";

request <= arb_req_signal;
grant <= grant_signal;
resetb <= NOT global_reset;

```

--\*\*\*\*\* Component instantiation \*\*\*\*\*

--Instances of ports 1 to 4

port1: voq\_input

```

GENERIC MAP (
    TRANSLATION_TABLE => "lut1.mif"
)

```

```

PORT MAP (
    data_in          => data_in1,
    clock            => clock,
    fp               => fp1,
    global_reset     => global_reset,
    reset            => reset,
    queue3_out       => queue3_out1,
    free_space_out   => free_space_out1,
    ready_flag_out   => ready_flag_out1,
    port_grant       => grant_signal (4 downto 1),
    parallel_data_out => input_data(0),
    port_request     => arb_req_signal (4 downto 1),

```

```

        c_bar_clock    => packet_clock,
        data_out_fp    => input_fp(1),
        data_valid     => data_valid_to_fabric(1)
    );

```

port2: voq\_input

```

    GENERIC MAP (
        TRANSLATION_TABLE => "lut2.mif"
    )

```

```

    PORT MAP (
        data_in      => data_in2,
        clock        => clock,
        fp           => fp2,
        global_reset => global_reset,
        reset        => reset,
        port_grant   => grant_signal (8 downto 5),
        parallel_data_out => input_data(1),
        port_request => arb_req_signal (8 downto 5),
        data_out_fp  => input_fp(2),
        data_valid   => data_valid_to_fabric(2)
    );

```

port3: voq\_input

```

    GENERIC MAP (
        TRANSLATION_TABLE => "lut3.mif"
    )

```

```

    PORT MAP (
        data_in      => data_in3,
        clock        => clock,
        fp           => fp3,
        global_reset => global_reset,
        reset        => reset,
        port_grant   => grant_signal (12 downto 9),
        parallel_data_out => input_data(2),
        port_request => arb_req_signal (12 downto 9),
        data_out_fp  => input_fp(3),
        data_valid   => data_valid_to_fabric(3)
    );

```

port4: voq\_input

```
GENERIC MAP (  
    TRANSLATION_TABLE => "lut4.mif"  
)
```

```
PORT MAP (  
    data_in    => data_in4,  
    clock      => clock,  
    fp         => fp4,  
    global_reset => global_reset,  
    reset      => reset,  
    port_grant => grant_signal (16 downto 13),  
    parallel_data_out => input_data(3),  
    port_request => arb_req_signal (16 downto 13),  
    data_out_fp => input_fp(4),  
    data_valid => data_valid_to_fabric(4)  
);
```

switch\_c\_bar: voq\_c\_bar

```
PORT MAP (  
    arb_req => arb_req_signal,  
    clk     => packet_clock,  
    reset   => resetb,  
    grant   => grant_signal,  
    P       => P  
);
```

--Instance of the fabric

data\_fabric: voq\_fabric

```
PORT MAP (  
    input0 => input_data,  
    input1 => input_fp,  
    input2 => data_valid_to_fabric,  
    input3 => input_port_name_bits0,  
    input4 => input_port_name_bits1,  
    cntrl  => grant_signal,  
    output0 => output_data,  
    output1 => output_fp,  
    output2 => data_valid_signal,
```

```
output3 => port_name_bit0,  
output4 => port_name_bit1  
);
```

END structure;

## **Appendix C.2. voq\_input.vhd**

### **VHDL source code for the input port module of the switch**

```
-- voq_input.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Laboratory, Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This file contains VHDL description of the input port modules used in the voq_switch
project.
-- The input port module, receives the incoming packets, stores them in buffer, looks up packet
header,
-- determines destination port number, updates packet header, sends a request for the
destination port
-- to the scheduler, and sends the packet out once a grant is received.

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_unsigned.ALL;
LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;
USE work.voq_input_package.ALL;

ENTITY voq_input IS

    GENERIC( PACKET_SIZE           : INTEGER:= 53;  --Port is set to handle
packets of size 53 bytes
            COUNTER_53_SIZE       : INTEGER:= 6;  --Counter_53 is a 6 bit
counter.
            DATA_SIZE            : INTEGER:= 8;  --Each data byte is 8 bits
long
            BUFFER_SIZE           : INTEGER:= 848; --Number of words in buffer
            BUFFER_WIDTH          : INTEGER:= 10;  --Recommended value is
CEIL(LOG2(BUFFER_SIZE))
            NO_OF_BLOCKS          : INTEGER:= 16;  --Has to be
BUFFER_SIZE/PACKET_SIZE
            NO_OF_QUEUES          : INTEGER:= 4;  --This value has to be equal
to the number of output ports
            NO_OF_PORTS           : INTEGER:= 4;
            VCI_VECTOR_SIZE       : INTEGER:= 24;
            VCI_SIZE              : INTEGER:= 16;  --Each VCI is 2 Bytes.
            OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE      : INTEGER:= 2;  --2 bits used to address an
output port

            LUT_OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE : INTEGER:= 4;
            TRANSLATION_TABLE     : STRING := "lut1.mif"

    );
```

```

PORT(
    --Test Signals for simulation purposes
    state          :OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
    cntrl_state    :OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
    DQ_state       : OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 15;

    count53_out    : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(COUNTER_53_SIZE-1 DOWNT0
0);

    c53sset_out    : OUT  STD_LOGIC;

    input_vci_out  : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);
--Signal that goes to LUT to be looked up
    output_vci_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);
--The updated VCI
    output_port_no_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR
(LUT_OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The destination output port
    out_vci_ready_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC; -- Indicates whether output VCI
and port no. are ready for pickup
    destination_port_no_out: OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);

    vci_in_vector_out : OUT  VCI_VECTOR_TYPE;
    VCI_reg_en_out    : OUT  STD_LOGIC;

    -- Buffer signals
    buffer_output     : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0
0);

    wr_address_signal_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(BUFFER_WIDTHU-1
DOWNT0 0);
    rd_address_signal_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(BUFFER_WIDTHU-1
DOWNT0 0);
    wr_en_signal_out   : OUT  STD_LOGIC;
    rd_en_signal_out   : OUT  STD_LOGIC;

    dq_count53_out    : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);
    dq_count53_temp_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);

    --linked lists
    queue0_head_out   : OUT  POINTER;
    queue0_tail_out   : OUT  POINTER;
    queue0_empty_out  : OUT  STD_LOGIC;
    queue0_out        : OUT  QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;
    queue1_out        : OUT  QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;
    queue2_out        : OUT  QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;
    queue3_out        : OUT  QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;

```

```

        free_space_out      : OUT  QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;
        free_space_head_out : OUT  POINTER;
        free_space_tail_out : OUT  POINTER;
        free_space_empty_out : OUT  STD_LOGIC;
        next_register_out   : OUT  NEXT_REGISTER_TYPE;
        ready_flag_out      : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (NO_OF_BLOCKS-1
DOWNTO 0);

        read_pointer_out    : OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 to 15; --Points to the block
that has to be read
        read_queue_out      : OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 to 3; --Is the queue number
that is being read from
        queue_no_out        : OUT  INTEGER RANGE 0 to 3; --Is the queue
number that is being written to

        --Actual entity ports
        data_in              : IN    STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (DATA_SIZE-1
DOWNTO 0); --Prallel data byte input
        clock                : IN    STD_LOGIC;          --Input clock to the port
        c_bar_clock          : OUT   STD_LOGIC;          --Used for loading request,
and issuing grants
        fp                   : IN    STD_LOGIC;          --Input frame pulse to the
port
        global_reset        : IN    STD_LOGIC;          --Resets all the counters,
registers and the BUFFER
        reset                : IN    STD_LOGIC;          --Resets everything but the
BUFFER
        port_grant           : IN    STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNTO 0); --The grant
vector for the port
        port_request         : OUT   STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(3 DOWNTO 0); --The
request vector for the port
        data_out_fp         : OUT   STD_LOGIC;          --Frame pulse showing the
beginning of the outgoing packet
        data_valid          : OUT   STD_LOGIC;          --is 1 when buffer is
dequeuing data
        parallel_data_out    : OUT   STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNTO
0) --Prallel data byte output
    );

```

```
END voq_input;
```

```
ARCHITECTURE behav OF voq_input IS
```

```
--Component Declaration
```

```
COMPONENT LUT
```

```
    GENERIC (VCI_SIZE: INTEGER := 16;
             PORT_SIZE: INTEGER := 4;
```

```

ROM_WIDTH      : INTEGER := 36; --width of the look up table
ROM_WIDTHHAD   : INTEGER := 3; --Address width of
LUT=log2(number of rows in table)
TRANSLATION_TABLE: STRING
    );

PORT ( input_vci      : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 downto 0);
      output_port_no: OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (PORT_SIZE-1 downto 0)
);

      output_vci      : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 downto 0);
      clock           : IN  STD_LOGIC;
      renable         : OUT STD_LOGIC
    );

END COMPONENT;

```

FUNCTION ENCODE (s: STD\_LOGIC\_VECTOR (3 DOWNTO 0)) --Used to translate grant signal to a queue number

```

RETURN INTEGER IS

VARIABLE INT: INTEGER RANGE 0 to 3;
BEGIN

CASE s IS

WHEN "1000" => INT := 3;
WHEN "0100" => INT := 2;
WHEN "0010" => INT := 1;
WHEN "0001" => INT := 0;
WHEN OTHERS => NULL;

END CASE;

RETURN INT;

END FUNCTION;

```

-----  
 \_\*\*\*\*\* SIGNALS \*\*\*\*\*  
 -----

```

-- BUFFER signals
SIGNAL rd_en_signal      : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_en_signal      : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL RAM_out           : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNTO
0);

SIGNAL rd_address_signal : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(BUFFER_WIDTHU-1 DOWNTO 0);
SIGNAL wr_address_signal : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(BUFFER_WIDTHU-1 DOWNTO 0);

-- VCI registers and signals
SIGNAL VCI_reg_en       : STD_LOGIC;           --Loads VCI registers with VCI bytes
SIGNAL VCI_reg0_out     : BYTE;                --Output of the first VCI register
SIGNAL VCI_reg1_out     : BYTE;                --Output of the second VCI register
SIGNAL VCI_reg2_out     : BYTE;                --Output of the third VCI register

```



```

        SIGNAL vci_in_vector      : VCI_VECTOR_TYPE;          --Where the incoming vci vector is
stored
        SIGNAL vci_out_vector     : VCI_VECTOR_ARRAY_TYPE;    --Where the updated vci is
stored. One exists for each block.
        SIGNAL input_vci         : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --
Connected to input of LUT

        --registers and flags
        SIGNAL next_register      : NEXT_REGISTER_TYPE;      --The next block in the linked list
        SIGNAL ready_flag        : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (NO_OF_BLOCKS-1 DOWNT0 0); --
Shows a complete packet has been written

        --Queue linked list pointers
        SIGNAL temp               : POINTER;                 --Latches the value of free_space.head
        SIGNAL free_space         : QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;        --The free space linked list.
        SIGNAL queue              : QUEUE_TYPE;             --An array of all 4 linked lists(queues)
        SIGNAL read_pointer       : INTEGER RANGE 0 to 15;   --Points to the block that has to be
read
        SIGNAL read_queue        : INTEGER RANGE 0 to 3;     --Is the queue number that is
being read from
        SIGNAL queue_no          : INTEGER RANGE 0 to 3;     --Is the queue number that is
being written to

        SIGNAL HIGH              : STD_LOGIC;
        SIGNAL LOW                : STD_LOGIC;

        --Counter_53 Signals
        SIGNAL count53           : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --6
Bit output of counter 53
        SIGNAL c53sset          : STD_LOGIC;                --Synchronous clear for counter_53

        --Dequeue counter 53 Signals
        SIGNAL dq_c53aclr       : STD_LOGIC;                --Asynchronous clear for
dq_counter53
        SIGNAL dq_count53       : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0); --6 Bit output of dq counter 53
        SIGNAL dq_c53aset       : STD_LOGIC;                --Is always set to LOW
        SIGNAL data_valid_signal : STD_LOGIC;                --Shows when the data
on the output line is valid
        SIGNAL dq_count53_temp   : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);--Holds dp_count53 value for 2 extra clocks
        SIGNAL dq_count53_temp_next : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);
        SIGNAL count59          : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (COUNTER_53_SIZE-1
DOWNT0 0);--Used to construct of c_bar_clock

        --LUT Signals

```

```

        SIGNAL      output_port_no      : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR
(LUT_OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The destination output port number
        SIGNAL      output_vci          : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --
The VCI to be placed in the outgoing packet
        SIGNAL      out_vci_ready       : STD_LOGIC; --When 1, output VCI and port no. are
ready for pickup
        SIGNAL      lut_clock           : STD_LOGIC; --The clock that will be connected to
LUT through lut_run clock.
        SIGNAL      lut_clock_signal    : STD_LOGIC;

--State Machines
        SIGNAL      current_state       : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
        SIGNAL      next_state         : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
        SIGNAL      cntrl_current_state : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
        SIGNAL      cntrl_next_state    : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
        SIGNAL      DQ_current_state    : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 15;
        SIGNAL      DQ_next_state       : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 15;

--Processor Specific Signals
        SIGNAL      destination_port_no : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE -1
DOWNT0 0); --The destination port number.
        SIGNAL      port_req           : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(NO_OF_PORTS-1 DOWNT0 0); --
The request vector to be sent out
        SIGNAL      parallel_data      : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --
Data bytes going out of voq_input
        SIGNAL      data_out_fp_signal : STD_LOGIC; --Frame pulse output

        SIGNAL      request_clock      : STD_LOGIC; --Same as c_bar_clock

BEGIN

-- Output signal assignments for test and simulation purpose
state <= current_state;
count53_out <= count53;
c53sset_out <= c53sset;
output_port_no_out <= output_port_no;
output_vci_out <= output_vci;
out_vci_ready_out <= out_vci_ready;
input_vci_out <= input_vci;
destination_port_no_out <= destination_port_no;

vci_in_vector_out <= vci_in_vector;
VCI_reg_en_out <= VCI_reg_en;

dq_count53_out <= dq_count53;
dq_count53_temp_out <= dq_count53_temp;
parallel_data_out <= parallel_data;
data_out_fp <= data_out_fp_signal;

```

```

data_valid <= data_valid_signal;
cntrl_state <= cntrl_current_state;
DQ_state   <= DQ_current_state;

--linked lists
queue0_head_out    <= queue(0).head;
queue0_tail_out    <= queue(0).tail;
queue0_empty_out   <= queue(0).empty;
queue0_out         <= queue(0);
queue1_out         <= queue(1);
queue2_out         <= queue(2);
queue3_out         <= queue(3);
free_space_out     <= free_space;
free_space_head_out <= free_space.head;
free_space_tail_out <= free_space.tail;
free_space_empty_out <= free_space.empty;
next_register_out  <= next_register;
ready_flag_out     <= ready_flag;

read_queue_out     <= read_queue;
queue_no_out       <= queue_no;
read_pointer_out   <= read_pointer;

--Buffer signals and ports
wr_address_signal_out <= wr_address_signal;
rd_address_signal_out <= rd_address_signal;
wr_en_signal_out     <= wr_en_signal;
rd_en_signal_out     <= rd_en_signal;
buffer_output        <= RAM_out;

c_bar_clock          <= request_clock;

Write_Seq_SM :      PROCESS (clock)
    BEGIN --Process
        IF (clock='0' AND clock'event) THEN --At the falling edge of clock next
state is calculated
            IF ((global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0')) THEN

                CASE current_state IS

                    WHEN 0 =>
                        wr_en_signal <= '0';
                        VCI_reg_en <= '0';
                        --If new packet coming and buffer has free space
                        IF ( fp = '1' ) AND (free_space.empty = '0') THEN
                            next_state <= 1;
                            temp <= free_space.head; --Keep first byte of new packet in
a temp

```

```

        c53sset <= '0';--start counting if there is a frame pulse

    ELSE
        c53sset <= '1';
    END IF;

--This is the RAM address where the data byte is written
    WHEN 1 =>
        wr_address_signal <= count53 + ((free_space.head)* "110101");
        wr_en_signal <= '1';    --Write the one byte of data that is
        coming in

    IF (count53 /= "110100") THEN --Continue reading the
    remaining bits of packet

        next_state <= 1;
    ELSE    --If the whole packet has been
    received

        next_state <= 0;
        c53sset <= '1';
    END IF;

    -- Send VCI bytes (bytes 2,3 and 4 of the header) to the VCI registers
    IF ((count53 = "00001") OR (count53 = "00010") OR (count53 =
"000011")) THEN

        VCI_reg_en <= '1';
    ELSE
        VCI_reg_en <= '0';
    END IF;

    WHEN OTHERS => NULL;

END CASE;

ELSE --In case of reset or if buffer is full, drop the incoming packet
    IF ( (global_reset = '1') OR (reset = '1') OR (free_space.empty = '1'))
    THEN
        next_state <= 0;
        wr_en_signal <= '0';
        c53sset <= '1';
    END IF;
END IF;
END IF;
END PROCESS;

PROCESS (clock) --State update process
BEGIN

```

```

IF ( (global_reset = '1') OR (reset = '1')) THEN --check for reset
    current_state <= 0;
    cntrl_current_state <= 0;
    DQ_current_state <= 0;
    dq_count53_temp <= "000000";

ELSE
    IF (clock = '1' AND clock'event) THEN --At the rising edge of clock, update
states
        current_state <= next_state;
        cntrl_current_state <= cntrl_next_state;
        DQ_current_state <= DQ_next_state;
        dq_count53_temp <= dq_count53_temp_next;
    END IF;
END IF;
END PROCESS;

VCI_SM : PROCESS (clock)
BEGIN -- Process
    IF (clock = '0' AND clock'event) THEN --cntrl_next_state is determined on falling
edge
        IF ( (global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0')) THEN
            CASE cntrl_current_state IS
                WHEN 0 =>
                    IF (count53 = "000011") THEN --If VCI bytes are already input
                        cntrl_next_state <= 1;
                    ELSE
                        cntrl_next_state <= 0;
                    END IF;

                WHEN 1 =>
                    cntrl_next_state <= 2;
                    input_vci <= vci_in_vector (19 DOWNTO 4); --Send input VCI to LUT

                WHEN 2 =>
                    cntrl_next_state <= 3; --Wait for LUT to look up the header

                WHEN 3 => --IF LUT has output a valid VCI update vci_out_vector
                    IF (out_vci_ready = '1') THEN
                        vci_out_vector (CONV_INTEGER (free_space.head)) (23 DOWNTO
20) <= vci_in_vector (23 DOWNTO 20);
                        vci_out_vector (CONV_INTEGER (free_space.head)) (19 DOWNTO
4) <= output_vci;
                        vci_out_vector (CONV_INTEGER (free_space.head)) (3 DOWNTO
0) <= vci_in_vector (3 DOWNTO 0);
                    --Retrieve destination port number from LUT
                    destination_port_no <= output_port_no (1 DOWNTO 0);
                    cntrl_next_state <= 4;
            END CASE;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;

```

```

ELSE
--If packet is fully written and no valid vci is found in LUT,return to state0
    IF (count53 = "110100") THEN
        cntrl_next_state <= 0;
    ELSE
        cntrl_next_state <= 3;
    END IF;
END IF;

    WHEN 4 =>
        cntrl_next_state <= 0;

    WHEN OTHERS => NULL;

END CASE;

ELSIF ( (global_reset = '1') OR (reset = '1') ) THEN
    cntrl_next_state <= 0;
END IF;
END IF;
END PROCESS;

Read_seq_SM: PROCESS (clock)
BEGIN --Process
    IF (clock = '0' AND clock'event) THEN --cntrl_next_state is determined on the
falling edge
        IF ( (global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0')) AND (port_grant /= "0000") THEN
--RAM address where bytes are read from is the head of read_queue plus counter offset

            rd_address_signal <= ((queue(read_queue).head * "110101") + dq_count53);

            CASE DQ_current_state IS

                WHEN 0 => --Initial state
                    DQ_next_state <= 1;
                    rd_en_signal <= '0';           --Don't read from the buffer
                    dq_c53aclr <= '1';           --Clear the counter
                    dq_count53_temp_next <= "000000";--Clear the temporary dq_counter
value

                WHEN 1 =>
                    rd_en_signal <= '1';         --Start reading from buffer
                    dq_c53aclr <= '0';         --The dq_counter starts counting
                    DQ_next_state <= 2;
                    --read_pointer points to the block that is being read
                    read_pointer <= CONV_INTEGER (queue(read_queue).head);
                    data_out_fp_signal <= '1'; --Make output frame pulse

```

```

    WHEN 2 =>
        DQ_next_state <= 3;    --Wait for data byte to be read from RAM

    WHEN 3 =>
        --First byte of output packet is read from buffer
        parallel_data <= RAM_out;
        DQ_next_state <= 4;
        data_out_fp_signal <= '0';
        data_valid_signal <= '1'; --Data on output port is valid

    WHEN 4 =>
        --Second, third, and fourth bytes of output packet are read from vci_out_vector
        DQ_next_state <= 5;
        parallel_data <= vci_out_vector(read_pointer)(23 downto 16);

    WHEN 5 =>
        DQ_next_state <= 6;
        parallel_data <= vci_out_vector(read_pointer)(15 downto 8);

    WHEN 6 =>
        DQ_next_state <= 7;
        parallel_data <= vci_out_vector(read_pointer)(7 downto 0);

    WHEN 7 =>
        IF (dq_count53 /= "110111") THEN
            -- do nothing i.e. remain in this state
        ELSE
            dq_count53_temp_next <= dq_count53; --Keep dq_counter value for
two more cycles

            dq_c53aclr <= '1'; --clear the dq_counter
            data_valid_signal <= '0';
            rd_en_signal <= '0';
            DQ_next_state <= 8;

        END IF;
        parallel_data <= RAM_out; --5th to 53rd byte of outgoing packet is read
from buffer

    WHEN 8 =>
        DQ_next_state <= 9; --if count53 and dq_count53 reach their maximum
at the same time the linked lists are updated first for write and then for read operation

    WHEN 9 =>
        DQ_next_state <= 0;

```

```

dq_count53_temp_next <= "000000";

WHEN OTHERS => NULL;

END CASE;

ELSE
rd_en_signal <= '0';
parallel_data <= "00000000";
END IF;
END IF;

END PROCESS;

Linked_list_update: PROCESS (clock)

BEGIN
--After writing a packet
IF (clock='0' AND clock'event) THEN
--If a full packet is written
IF (global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0') AND (current_state = 1) AND (count53 =
"110100") THEN
IF queue(queue_no).empty = '1' THEN --If queue(i) was empty
queue(queue_no).head <= free_space.head; --New head of queue(i)
queue(queue_no).empty <= '0'; --queue(i) is not empty any more
ELSE
next_register(CONV_INTEGER (queue(queue_no).tail)) <= temp;
END IF;
--The packet written always becomes the new tail of the queue, no matter if it is empty or
not
queue(queue_no).tail <= free_space.head;
--This flag is one when the whole packet has been written and packet is ready to be read
ready_flag(CONV_INTEGER (temp)) <= '1';

IF (free_space.head = free_space.tail) THEN --If it was the last space in
free_space
free_space.empty <= '1'; --free_space is empty from now on
ELSIF free_space.empty = '0' THEN --If free_space is multi-element
--Remove Multi Element
free_space.head <= next_register(CONV_INTEGER (temp));
END IF;

--After reading a packet
ELSIF (global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0') AND (DQ_current_state = 8) AND
(dq_count53_temp = "110111") THEN

```



```

        IF queue(read_queue).head = queue(read_queue).tail THEN --If it was the last
element in queue(i)
            queue(read_queue).empty <= '1';           --queue(i) is empty from now
on
            ELSE
            -- remove_normal
            queue(read_queue).head <= next_register(CONV_INTEGER
(queue(read_queue).head));
            END IF;

--Whether free_space is empty or not, when a packet is read it will be added to the tail of the
free_space
            free_space.tail <= queue(read_queue).head;
--When the whole packet it read, ready_flag has to be zero
            ready_flag (read_pointer) <= '0';

            IF free_space.empty = '0' THEN --remove_normal
--The head of queue(i) has to point to next block in that queue
                next_register(CONV_INTEGER (free_space.tail)) <= queue(read_queue).head;
            ELSE --If free_space was empty
--one element added to the empty free_space will be both its head and tail
                free_space.head <= queue(read_queue).head;
--free_space is not empty any more as soon as a packet is read
                free_space.empty <= '0';
            END IF;

            ELSIF (global_reset = '1') THEN --Check for reset
--free_space.head points to first, and free_space.tail points to last block of buffer
                free_space.head <= "0000";
                free_space.tail <= "1111";
                free_space.empty <= '0';

--Each block pointing to the next block at startup
                next_register(15) <= "0000";
                next_register(14) <= "1111";
                next_register(13) <= "1110";
                next_register(12) <= "1101";
                next_register(11) <= "1100";
                next_register(10) <= "1011";
                next_register(9) <= "1010";
                next_register(8) <= "1001";
                next_register(7) <= "1000";
                next_register(6) <= "0111";
                next_register(5) <= "0110";
                next_register(4) <= "0101";
                next_register(3) <= "0100";
                next_register(2) <= "0011";
                next_register(1) <= "0010";
                next_register(0) <= "0001";

```

```

--Queues are empty at startup. Head and tail of all queues is pointing to first block
    queue(3).head <= "0000";
    queue(3).tail <= "0000";
    queue(3).empty <= '1';

    queue(2).head <= "0000";
    queue(2).tail <= "0000";
    queue(2).empty <= '1';

    queue(1).head <= "0000";
    queue(1).tail <= "0000";
    queue(1).empty <= '1';

    queue(0).head <= "0000";
    queue(0).tail <= "0000";
    queue(0).empty <= '1';

    ready_flag <= "0000000000000000";

    END IF;

    END IF;

    END PROCESS;

```

--This process builds the 59 time slower clock (c\_bar\_clock output)

```

clock_request_process: PROCESS (clock)
    BEGIN
        IF (clock = '0' and clock'event) THEN
            IF (count59 = "000001") THEN
                request_clock <= '1';
            ELSE
                request_clock <= '0';
            END IF;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;

```

--port\_request output is updated at rising edge of c\_bar\_clock output

```

request_process: PROCESS (request_clock)
    BEGIN
        IF (request_clock = '1' and request_clock'event) THEN
            port_request <= port_req;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;

```

```

-- Selected Signal Assignment

HIGH          <= '1';
LOW           <= '0';
lut_clock_signal <= clock;      --Faster clocks may replace clock so that LUT can
function faster
dq_c53aset    <= '0';

vci_in_vector <= VCI_reg0_out & VCI_reg1_out & VCI_reg2_out ;
--As long as a queue is not empty, there is request for that queue's corresponding
output
port_req      <= (not queue(3).empty) & (not queue(2).empty) & (not queue(1).empty)
& (not queue(0).empty);
queue_no     <= CONV_INTEGER (destination_port_no);
read_queue   <= ENCODE (port_grant);  --read_queue is the queue that has
received grant

--***** Component instantiation *****
counter_53 : lpm_counter
            GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH => COUNTER_53_SIZE)

            PORT MAP (clock => clock,
                      aset => c53sset,
                      q   => count53
                      );

dq_counter53 : lpm_counter
            GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH => COUNTER_53_SIZE)

            PORT MAP (clock => clock,
                      aclr => dq_c53aclr,
                      aset => dq_c53aset,
                      -- cnt_en => dq_count_en,
                      q   => dq_count53
                      );

clock_gen_counter: lpm_counter
            GENERIC MAP ( LPM_WIDTH => COUNTER_53_SIZE,
                        LPM_MODULUS => 59
                        )

            PORT MAP (clock => clock,
                      aclr => reset,

```

```

aset => LOW,
q    => count59
);

```

bufferx : lpm\_RAM\_dp

```

GENERIC MAP ( LPM_WIDTH => DATA_SIZE,
              LPM_WIDTHAD => BUFFER_WIDTHU,
              LPM_NUMWORDS => BUFFER_SIZE
            )

```

```

PORT MAP ( rdaddress      => rd_address_signal,
           wraddress     => wr_address_signal,
           rdclock       => clock,
           wrclock       => clock,
           rden          => rd_en_signal,
           wren          => wr_en_signal,
           data          => data_in,
           q             => RAM_out
         );

```

port\_lut : LUT

```

GENERIC MAP ( VCI_SIZE => VCI_SIZE,
              PORT_SIZE => LUT_OUTPUT_PORT_SIZE,
              TRANSLATION_TABLE => TRANSLATION_TABLE
            )

```

```

PORT MAP (input_vci      => input_vci,
          output_port_no => output_port_no,
          output_vci     => output_vci,
          clock          => lut_clock_signal,
          renable        => out_vci_ready
        );

```

VCI\_register2: lpm\_ff

```

GENERIC MAP ( LPM_WIDTH => DATA_SIZE )

```

```

PORT MAP ( data  => data_in,
          clock  => clock,
          enable => VCI_reg_en,
          q      => VCI_reg2_out
        );

```

VCI\_register1: lpm\_ff

```
GENERIC MAP ( LPM_WIDTH => DATA_SIZE )
```

```
PORT MAP ( data  => VCI_reg2_out,  
           clock => clock,  
           enable => VCI_reg_en,  
           q     => VCI_reg1_out  
);
```

```
VCI_register0: lpm_ff
```

```
GENERIC MAP ( LPM_WIDTH => DATA_SIZE )
```

```
PORT MAP ( data  => VCI_reg1_out,  
           clock => clock,  
           enable => VCI_reg_en,  
           q     => VCI_reg0_out  
);
```

```
END behav;
```

### **Appendix C.3. voq\_c\_bar.vhd**

#### **VHDL source code for the crossbar scheduler module of the switch**

```
-- voq_c_bar.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Laboratory, Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This file is the VHDL source code for a DPA scheduler for a 4x4 ATM switch

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_unsigned.ALL;

LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;

USE work.voq_input_package.ALL;

ENTITY voq_c_bar IS
    GENERIC (NO_OF_PORTS: INTEGER := 4;
             PRIO_VEC_SIZE: INTEGER := 7 --Has to be [2(NO_OF_PORTS)-1]
            );

    PORT(
        arb_req: IN std_logic_vector(NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ DOWNTO 1);
        clk, reset : IN std_logic;
        grant : OUT std_logic_vector(NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ DOWNTO 1);
        P: OUT std_logic_vector(7 DOWNTO 1)
    );
END voq_c_bar;

ARCHITECTURE behaviour OF voq_c_bar IS

    COMPONENT Arbiter

        PORT(Req, North, West, Mask: IN std_logic;
```

```

        South, East, Grant: OUT std_logic);
    END COMPONENT;

```

```

--Cross Bar Signal Declarations

```

```

SIGNAL south_2_north : c_bar_signal_array;
SIGNAL east_2_west   : c_bar_signal_array;
SIGNAL arb_mask      : c_bar_signal_array;
SIGNAL arb_grant     : c_bar_signal_array;
SIGNAL c_bar_P       : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (7 DOWNT0 1);
SIGNAL High          : std_logic;
SIGNAL temp          : INTEGER RANGE 1 to 2;

```

```

BEGIN

```

```

grant(1) <= arb_grant(1)(1) or arb_grant(5)(1);
grant(2) <= arb_grant(1)(2) or arb_grant(5)(2);
grant(3) <= arb_grant(1)(3) or arb_grant(5)(3);
grant(4) <= arb_grant(1)(4);

```

```

grant(5) <= arb_grant(2)(1) or arb_grant(6)(1);
grant(6) <= arb_grant(2)(2) or arb_grant(6)(2);
grant(7) <= arb_grant(2)(3);
grant(8) <= arb_grant(2)(4) or arb_grant(5)(4);

```

```

grant(9) <= arb_grant(3)(1) or arb_grant(7)(1);
grant(10) <= arb_grant(3)(2);
grant(11) <= arb_grant(3)(3) or arb_grant(6)(3);
grant(12) <= arb_grant(3)(4) or arb_grant(6)(4);

```

```

grant(13) <= arb_grant(4)(1);
grant(14) <= arb_grant(4)(2) or arb_grant(7)(2);
grant(15) <= arb_grant(4)(3) or arb_grant(7)(3);
grant(16) <= arb_grant(4)(4) or arb_grant(7)(4);

```

```

P <= c_bar_P;

```

```

--This process rotates the priority vector

```

```

    Active_Win : process (clk, reset)

```

```

        BEGIN

```

```

            if reset = '0' then

```

```

                c_bar_P <= "0000000";

```

```

            elsif (clk = '1' and clk'event) then

```

```

                case c_bar_P is

```

```

        when "1111000" => c_bar_P <= "0111100";
        when "0111100" => c_bar_P <= "0011110";
        when "0011110" => c_bar_P <= "0001111";
        when "0001111" => c_bar_P <= "1111000";
        when others      => c_bar_P <= "1111000";
    end case;
end if;
end process;

```

```

    High <= '1';

```

```

--***** Arbiter instantiation *****

```

```

--First Row

```

```

Arbiter_1_1: Arbiter

```

```

    PORT MAP (Req => arb_req(1), North => High, West => High, Mask => c_bar_P(7),
    South => south_2_north(1)(1), East => east_2_west(1)(1) , Grant => arb_grant(1)(1));

```

```

Arbiter_1_2: Arbiter

```

```

    PORT MAP (Req => arb_req(2), North => south_2_north(7)(2), West =>
    east_2_west(1)(1), Mask => c_bar_P(6), South => south_2_north(1)(2), East =>
    east_2_west(1)(2) , Grant => arb_grant(1)(2));

```

```

Arbiter_1_3: Arbiter

```

```

    PORT MAP (Req => arb_req(3), North => south_2_north(7)(3), West =>
    east_2_west(1)(2), Mask => c_bar_P(5), South => south_2_north(1)(3), East =>
    east_2_west(1)(3) , Grant => arb_grant(1)(3));

```

```

Arbiter_1_4: Arbiter

```

```

    PORT MAP (Req => arb_req(4), North => south_2_north(7)(4), West =>
    east_2_west(1)(3), Mask => c_bar_P(4), South => south_2_north(1)(4), East =>
    east_2_west(1)(4) , Grant => arb_grant(1)(4));

```

```

--Second Row

```

```

Arbiter_2_1: Arbiter

```



PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(5), North => south\_2\_north(1)(1), West => east\_2\_west(5)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(6), South => south\_2\_north(2)(1), East => east\_2\_west(2)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(2)(1));

Arbiter\_2\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(6), North => south\_2\_north(1)(2), West => east\_2\_west(2)(1), Mask => c\_bar\_P(5), South => south\_2\_north(2)(2), East => east\_2\_west(2)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(2)(2));

Arbiter\_2\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(7), North => south\_2\_north(1)(3), West => east\_2\_west(2)(2), Mask => c\_bar\_P(4), South => south\_2\_north(2)(3), East => east\_2\_west(2)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(2)(3));

Arbiter\_2\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(8), North => south\_2\_north(1)(4), West => east\_2\_west(2)(3), Mask => c\_bar\_P(3), South => south\_2\_north(2)(4), East => east\_2\_west(2)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(2)(4));

--Third Row

Arbiter\_3\_1: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(9), North => south\_2\_north(2)(1), West => east\_2\_west(6)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(5), South => south\_2\_north(3)(1), East => east\_2\_west(3)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(3)(1));

Arbiter\_3\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(10), North => south\_2\_north(2)(2), West => east\_2\_west(3)(1), Mask => c\_bar\_P(4), South => south\_2\_north(3)(2), East => east\_2\_west(3)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(3)(2));

Arbiter\_3\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(11), North => south\_2\_north(2)(3), West => east\_2\_west(3)(2), Mask => c\_bar\_P(3), South => south\_2\_north(3)(3), East => east\_2\_west(3)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(3)(3));

Arbiter\_3\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(12), North => south\_2\_north(2)(4), West => east\_2\_west(3)(3), Mask => c\_bar\_P(2), South => south\_2\_north(3)(4), East => east\_2\_west(3)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(3)(4));

--Forth Row

Arbiter\_4\_1: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(13), North => south\_2\_north(3)(1), West => east\_2\_west(7)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(4), South => south\_2\_north(4)(1), East => east\_2\_west(4)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(4)(1));

Arbiter\_4\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(14), North => south\_2\_north(3)(2), West => east\_2\_west(4)(1), Mask => c\_bar\_P(3), South => south\_2\_north(4)(2), East => east\_2\_west(4)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(4)(2));

Arbiter\_4\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(15), North => south\_2\_north(3)(3), West => east\_2\_west(4)(2), Mask => c\_bar\_P(2), South => south\_2\_north(4)(3), East => east\_2\_west(4)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(4)(3));

Arbiter\_4\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(16), North => south\_2\_north(3)(4), West => east\_2\_west(4)(3), Mask => c\_bar\_P(1), South => south\_2\_north(4)(4), East => east\_2\_west(4)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(4)(4));

--Fifth Row

Arbiter\_5\_1: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(1), North => south\_2\_north(4)(1), West => east\_2\_west(1)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(3), South => south\_2\_north(5)(1), East => east\_2\_west(5)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(5)(1));

Arbiter\_5\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(2), North => south\_2\_north(4)(2), West => east\_2\_west(5)(1), Mask => c\_bar\_P(2), South => south\_2\_north(5)(2), East => east\_2\_west(5)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(5)(2));

Arbiter\_5\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(3), North => south\_2\_north(4)(3), West => east\_2\_west(5)(2), Mask => c\_bar\_P(1), South => south\_2\_north(5)(3), East => east\_2\_west(5)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(5)(3));

Arbiter\_5\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(8), North => HIGH, West => HIGH, Mask => c\_bar\_P(7), South => south\_2\_north(5)(4), East => east\_2\_west(5)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(5)(4));

--Sixth Row

Arbiter\_6\_1: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(5), North => south\_2\_north(5)(1), West => east\_2\_west(2)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(2), South => south\_2\_north(6)(1), East => east\_2\_west(6)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(6)(1));

Arbiter\_6\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(6), North => south\_2\_north(5)(2), West => east\_2\_west(6)(1), Mask => c\_bar\_P(1), South => south\_2\_north(6)(2), East => east\_2\_west(6)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(6)(2));

Arbiter\_6\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(11), North => HIGH, West => HIGH, Mask => c\_bar\_P(7), South => south\_2\_north(6)(3), East => east\_2\_west(6)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(6)(3));

Arbiter\_6\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(12), North => south\_2\_north(5)(4), West => east\_2\_west(6)(3), Mask => c\_bar\_P(6), South => south\_2\_north(6)(4), East => east\_2\_west(6)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(6)(4));

--Seventh Row

Arbiter\_7\_1: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(9), North => south\_2\_north(6)(1), West => east\_2\_west(3)(4), Mask => c\_bar\_P(1), South => south\_2\_north(7)(1), East => east\_2\_west(7)(1) , Grant => arb\_grant(7)(1));

Arbiter\_7\_2: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(14), North => HIGH, West => HIGH, Mask => c\_bar\_P(7), South => south\_2\_north(7)(2), East => east\_2\_west(7)(2) , Grant => arb\_grant(7)(2));

Arbiter\_7\_3: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(15), North => south\_2\_north(6)(3), West => east\_2\_west(7)(2), Mask => c\_bar\_P(6), South => south\_2\_north(7)(3), East => east\_2\_west(7)(3) , Grant => arb\_grant(7)(3));

Arbiter\_7\_4: Arbiter

PORT MAP (Req => arb\_req(16), North => south\_2\_north(6)(4), West => east\_2\_west(7)(3), Mask => c\_bar\_P(5), South => south\_2\_north(7)(4), East => east\_2\_west(7)(4) , Grant => arb\_grant(7)(4));

END behaviour;

## **Appendix C.4. voq\_fabric.vhd**

### **VHDL source code for the crossbar fabric module of the switch**

```
-- voq_fabric.vhd
-- Designed by: Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Lab, Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This is a crossbar fabric made from AND gates and OR gates
-- The control lines of the fabric come from the size 16 std_logic_vector
-- input "cntrl", which is in this case the "grant" signal coming from the scheduler.

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;

LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;
USE work.voq_input_package.ALL;

ENTITY voq_fabric is
  GENERIC(
    SWITCH_SIZE : INTEGER:= 4;           --4x4 fabric by default
    GRANT_SIZE   : INTEGER:= 16         --16 lines used to issue grants
  );

  PORT(
    input0  : IN  DATA_VECTOR;        --The 4 input data lines of type
std_logic_vector(DATASIZE-1 DOWNT0 0)

    input1  : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The 4
data_valid lines going to the fabric

    input2  : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
LSBs of input_port_name

    input3  : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The
MSB bit of input_port_name

    input4  : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --The 4
input frame pulse lines
```

```

        cntrl    : IN  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(GRANT_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);    --The
grant vector used to control the fabric

        output0 : OUT DATA_VECTOR;    --The 4 output data lines of type
std_logic_vecotr(DATASIZE-1 DOWNT0 0)

        output1 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);    --
data_valid lines
        output2 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);    --The
LSBs of port_name out of the fabric

        output3 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);    --The
MSB of port_name out of the fabric

        output4 : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0)    --the
4 output frame pulses for the 8 output ports
    );

    END voq_fabric;

```

Architecture behave of voq\_fabric is

```

begin
output0(0)(0) <= ( (input0(0)(0) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(0) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(0) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(0) AND cntrl(12)) );

output0(0)(1) <= ( (input0(0)(1) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(1) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(1) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(1) AND cntrl(12)) );

output0(0)(2) <= ( (input0(0)(2) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(2) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(2) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(2) AND cntrl(12)) );

output0(0)(3) <= ( (input0(0)(3) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(3) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(3) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(3) AND cntrl(12)) );

output0(0)(4) <= ( (input0(0)(4) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(4) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(4) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(4) AND cntrl(12)) );

output0(0)(5) <= ( (input0(0)(5) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(5) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(5) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(5) AND cntrl(12)));

output0(0)(6) <= ( (input0(0)(6) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(6) AND cntrl(4)) OR
(input0(2)(6) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(6) AND cntrl(12)));

```

output0(0)(7) <= ( (input0(0)(7) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input0(1)(7) AND cntrl(4)) OR (input0(2)(7) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input0(3)(7) AND cntrl(12)));

output0(1)(0) <= ( (input0(0)(0) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(0) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(0) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(0) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(1) <= ( (input0(0)(1) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(1) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(1) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(1) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(2) <= ( (input0(0)(2) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(2) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(2) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(2) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(3) <= ( (input0(0)(3) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(3) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(3) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(3) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(4) <= ( (input0(0)(4) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(4) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(4) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(4) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(5) <= ( (input0(0)(5) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(5) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(5) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(5) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(6) <= ( (input0(0)(6) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(6) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(6) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(6) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(1)(7) <= ( (input0(0)(7) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input0(1)(7) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input0(2)(7) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input0(3)(7) AND cntrl(13)));

output0(2)(0) <= ( (input0(0)(0) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(0) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(0) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(0) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(1) <= ( (input0(0)(1) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(1) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(1) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(1) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(2) <= ( (input0(0)(2) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(2) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(2) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(2) AND cntrl(14)));



output0(2)(3) <= ( (input0(0)(3) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(3) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(3) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(3) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(4) <= ( (input0(0)(4) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(4) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(4) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(4) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(5) <= ( (input0(0)(5) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(5) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(5) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(5) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(6) <= ( (input0(0)(6) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(6) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(6) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(6) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(2)(7) <= ( (input0(0)(7) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input0(1)(7) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input0(2)(7) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input0(3)(7) AND cntrl(14)));

output0(3)(0) <= ( (input0(0)(0) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(0) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(0) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(0) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(1) <= ( (input0(0)(1) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(1) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(1) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(1) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(2) <= ( (input0(0)(2) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(2) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(2) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(2) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(3) <= ( (input0(0)(3) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(3) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(3) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(3) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(4) <= ( (input0(0)(4) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(4) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(4) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(4) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(5) <= ( (input0(0)(5) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(5) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(5) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(5) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(6) <= ( (input0(0)(6) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(6) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(6) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(6) AND cntrl(15)));

output0(3)(7) <= ( (input0(0)(7) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input0(1)(7) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input0(2)(7) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input0(3)(7) AND cntrl(15)));

output1(0) <= ( (input1(0) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input1(1) AND cntrl(4)) OR (input1(2) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input1(3) AND cntrl(12)));

output1(1) <= ( (input1(0) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input1(1) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input1(2) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input1(3) AND cntrl(13)));

output1(2) <= ( (input1(0) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input1(1) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input1(2) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input1(3) AND cntrl(14)));

output1(3) <= ( (input1(0) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input1(1) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input1(2) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input1(3) AND cntrl(15)));

output2(0) <= ( (input2(0) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input2(1) AND cntrl(4)) OR (input2(2) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input2(3) AND cntrl(12)));

output2(1) <= ( (input2(0) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input2(1) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input2(2) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input2(3) AND cntrl(13)));

output2(2) <= ( (input2(0) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input2(1) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input2(2) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input2(3) AND cntrl(14)) );

output2(3) <= ( (input2(0) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input2(1) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input2(2) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input2(3) AND cntrl(15)) );

output3(0) <= ( (input3(0) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input3(1) AND cntrl(4)) OR (input3(2) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input3(3) AND cntrl(12)));

output3(1) <= ( (input3(0) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input3(1) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input3(2) AND cntrl(9)) OR (input3(3) AND cntrl(13)));

output3(2) <= ( (input3(0) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input3(1) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input3(2) AND cntrl(10)) OR (input3(3) AND cntrl(14)));

output3(3) <= ( (input3(0) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input3(1) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input3(2) AND cntrl(11)) OR (input3(3) AND cntrl(15)));

output4(0) <= ( (input4(0) AND cntrl(0)) OR (input4(1) AND cntrl(4)) OR (input4(2) AND cntrl(8)) OR (input4(3) AND cntrl(12)));

```
output4(1) <= ( (input4(0) AND cntrl(1)) OR (input4(1) AND cntrl(5)) OR (input4(2) AND
cntrl(9)) OR (input4(3) AND cntrl(13)));
```

```
output4(2) <= ( (input4(0) AND cntrl(2)) OR (input4(1) AND cntrl(6)) OR (input4(2) AND
cntrl(10)) OR (input4(3) AND cntrl(14)));
```

```
output4(3) <= ( (input4(0) AND cntrl(3)) OR (input4(1) AND cntrl(7)) OR (input4(2) AND
cntrl(11)) OR (input4(3) AND cntrl(15)));
```

```
end behave;
```

## **Appendix C.5. LUT.vhd**

### **VHDL source code for the look up table component of the switch**

```
-- lut.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Laboratory, Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This file contains the VHDL description of a look up table module used in the
voq_switch project
-- The look up table is based on a ROM with 8 rows and 36 bit words.
-- The input to the look up table is the VCI header of the ATM packet that has entered
-- the network. The outputs of the look up table are the updated VCI for that packet, and
the output port where the packet should go to.
```

```
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
```

```
LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;
```

```
ENTITY LUT IS
  GENERIC ( VCI_SIZE: INTEGER      := 16;  --Size of the VCI bytes
           PORT_SIZE: INTEGER     := 4;   --The output port number output of the
LUT is 4 bits wide
           ROM_WIDTH: INTEGER     := 36;  --Width of the look up table
           ROM_WIDTHHAD : INTEGER := 3;  --Address width of the look up table =
log2(number of rows in the table)
           TRANSLATION_TABLE: STRING := "lut1.mif" -- The file used to initialize
the ROM inside LUT
  );

  PORT (input_vci      : IN   STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 downto 0);
        output_port_no : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (PORT_SIZE-1 downto 0 );
        output_vci    : OUT  STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_SIZE-1 downto 0);
        clock         : IN   STD_LOGIC;
        renewable     : OUT  STD_LOGIC
  );

END LUT;
```

ARCHITECTURE behave of LUT is

TYPE state is (S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7);

SIGNAL ADDRESS, next\_ADDRESS : STD\_LOGIC\_VECTOR (2 downto 0);

SIGNAL OUTPUT : STD\_LOGIC\_VECTOR (ROM\_WIDTH-1 downto 0);

BEGIN

PROCESS (clock) -- This process changes the address input of the ROM

BEGIN

IF (clock = '1' and clock'event) then

case ADDRESS is

when "000" => next\_ADDRESS <= "001";

when "001" => next\_ADDRESS <= "010";

when "010" => next\_ADDRESS <= "011";

when "011" => next\_ADDRESS <= "100";

when "100" => next\_ADDRESS <= "101";

when "101" => next\_ADDRESS <= "110";

when "110" => next\_ADDRESS <= "111";

when "111" => next\_ADDRESS <= "000";

when others => NULL;

END case;

END IF;

```

END PROCESS;

PROCESS (clock)

    BEGIN

        IF (clock ='0' and clock'event) then
            ADDRESS <= next_ADDRESS;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;

PROCESS (clock)

    BEGIN

    IF (clock ='1' and clock'event) then

    IF OUTPUT ( 35 downto 20 ) = input_vci then -- If the input VCI was found in the table
        output_vci <= OUTPUT ( 19 downto 4);    -- Updated VCI and output port number are
        sent out
        output_port_no <= OUTPUT(3 DOWNT0 0);
        renable <= '1';
    ELSE
        output_vci <= "0000000000000000";
        output_port_no <= "0000";
        renable <= '0';
    END IF;
    END IF;

    END PROCESS;

--LUT is an instance of lpm -rom
my_LUT: lpm_rom

GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH => ROM_WIDTH,
             LPM_WIDTHAD => ROM_WIDTHAD,
             LPM_FILE => TRANSLATION_TABLE
            )

PORT MAP (address => ADDRESS,
          inclock => clock,

```

```
outclock => clock,  
q => OUTPUT  
);
```

```
END behave;
```

## **Appendix C.6. output\_fifo.vhd**

### **VHDL source code for the output port module that could be added to the switch**

```
-- output_fifo.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
--Communication Networks Laboratory, simon Fraser University
-- This entity is supposed to collect the data in seperate fifos entering the output
module
```

```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_signed.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
```

```
LIBRARY lpm;
USE lpm.lpm_components.ALL;
USE work.Input_portx8_package.ALL;
```

```
ENTITY output_fifo IS
```

```
    GENERIC (COUNTER_8_SIZE   : INTEGER := 4;
             COUNTER_53_SIZE  : INTEGER := 53;
             FIFO_WIDTHU      : INTEGER := 11;
             INCOMING_PORT_SIZE: INTEGER := 3;
             DATA_SIZE       : INTEGER := 8;
             FIFO_WIDTH       : INTEGER :=8;
             FIFO_SIZE        : INTEGER := 2048
            );
```

PORT (--Input port for data and frame pulse. Frame pulse marks the beginning of a data packet leaving the switch.

```
    data_in: IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);
```

```
    fp_in   : IN STD_LOGIC;
```

--fp8\_in is a vector of frame pulses. Only each frame pulse goes high, as soon as data\_valid goes high, and not at the beginning of packet, but at the beginning of the dummy packet.



--This enables us to check if a new packet is coming, as soon as data\_valid goes high.

```
fp8_in : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (7 DOWNTO 0);
```

--data\_valid shows whether the data on the output port of the switch (input port of this device) is valid or not

```
data_valid: IN STD_LOGIC;
```

--Shows the origin(input port) that is sending data packets to each output port of the switch (inputport of this device)

```
incoming_port_number : IN STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (2 DOWNTO 0);
```

```
clock      : IN STD_LOGIC;
```

```
global_reset : IN STD_LOGIC;
```

```
reset      : IN STD_LOGIC;
```

```
clock8     : IN STD_LOGIC;
```

-- temporary Input/outputs for compilation reasons

```
rd_req      : IN      STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNTO 0);
```

```
fifo_out_port : OUT ARRAY8x8;
```

```
fifo_full_port : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (7 DOWNTO 0);
```

```
fifo_empty_port : OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (7 DOWNTO 0);
```

```
fifo_rdusedw_port :OUT ARRAY8x11;
```

```
fifo_wrusedw_port :OUT ARRAY8x11;
```

```
wr_req_fifo :OUT STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNTO 0);
```

```
wr_req_enable_port :OUT STD_LOGIC
```

```
);
```

END output\_fifo;

ARCHITECTURE behav OF output\_fifo IS

--signals

```
SIGNAL HIGH          : STD_LOGIC := '1';
```

```
SIGNAL LOW           : STD_LOGIC := '0';
```

--state signals

```
SIGNAL current_state : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 25;
```

```
SIGNAL next_state    : INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 25;
```

```
SIGNAL incoming_port_number_int: INTEGER RANGE 0 TO 7;
```

```

--fifo signals
--***** NOTE that "wr_req_i <= wr_reqi AND wr_req_enable" *****
--SIGNAL wr_req0, wr_req1, wr_req2, wr_req3, wr_req4, wr_req5, wr_req6, wr_req7:
STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0); --connected to the
output of the decoder.
-- The value for this signal is assigned in the WR_SM_PROCESS and is ANDed with
-- all the wr_req0 to 7 to enable the writing of incoming bytes into the 8 FIFOs.
SIGNAL wr_req_enable : STD_LOGIC;
-- The wr_req_i signals are the signals connected to the wrreq of the FIFOs.
-- wr_req_i = wr_reqi AND wr_req_enable.
SIGNAL wr_req_0           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_1           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_2           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_3           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_4           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_5           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_6           : STD_LOGIC;
SIGNAL wr_req_7           : STD_LOGIC;

--SIGNAL rd_req           : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNT0 0);
SIGNAL faclr             : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNT0 0);
SIGNAL fifo_rdusedw      : ARRAY8x11; --Number of bytes in the main FIFO (not
used by the processor)
SIGNAL fifo_wrusedw      : ARRAY8x11; --Number of bytes in the main FIFO
(used by the processor)
SIGNAL fifo_out          : ARRAY8x8;  --Output of the FIFO
SIGNAL fifo_full         : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNT0 0);
SIGNAL fifo_empty       : STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(7 DOWNT0 0);

BEGIN
-----
fifo_out_port      <= fifo_out;
fifo_full_port    <= fifo_full;
fifo_empty_port   <= fifo_empty;
fifo_rdusedw_port <= fifo_rdusedw;
fifo_wrusedw_port <= fifo_wrusedw;
wr_req_fifo(0)   <= wr_req_0;
wr_req_fifo(1)   <= wr_req_1;
wr_req_fifo(2)   <= wr_req_2;
wr_req_fifo(3)   <= wr_req_3;
wr_req_fifo(4)   <= wr_req_4;
wr_req_fifo(5)   <= wr_req_5;

```

```

wr_req_fifo(6)  <= wr_req_6;
wr_req_fifo(7)  <= wr_req_7;

```

--This is where the actual write request signals connected to the FIFO's are made

```

wr_req_0    <= wr_req(0) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_1    <= wr_req(1) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_2    <= wr_req(2) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_3    <= wr_req(3) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_4    <= wr_req(4) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_5    <= wr_req(5) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_6    <= wr_req(6) AND wr_req_enable;
wr_req_7    <= wr_req(7) AND wr_req_enable;

```

```

incoming_port_number_int <= conv_integer(incoming_port_number);
wr_req_enable_port <= wr_req_enable;

```

```

Write_Seq_SM :    PROCESS (clock)

```

```

BEGIN --Process

```

```

    IF (clock='0' AND clock'event) THEN -- at the falling edge of the clock next state is
    calculated

```

```

        IF ( (global_reset = '0') AND (reset = '0')) THEN

```

```

            IF data_valid = '1' THEN

```

```

                CASE current_state IS

```

```

                    WHEN 0 =>    --frame pulse coming in state zero shows the
    beginning of a new packet

```

```

                        IF ( fp8_in(incoming_port_number_int) = '1')THEN

```

```

                            next_state <= 1;

```

```

                        ELSE

```

```

                            next_state <= 7;

```

```

                        END IF;

```

```

                wr_req_enable <= '0';

```

```

                --from state 1 to 8 we wait for the dummy packet to pass.

```

```

                    WHEN 1 =>    next_state <= 2;

```

```

                    WHEN 2 =>    next_state <= 3;

```

```

                    WHEN 3 =>    next_state <= 4;

```

```

                    WHEN 4 =>    next_state <= 5;

```

```

        WHEN 5 =>    next_state <= 6;

        WHEN 6 =>    next_state <= 7;

        WHEN 7 =>    next_state <= 8;

                                wr_req_enable <= '1';
        WHEN 8 =>    next_state <= 8;

        WHEN OTHERS => NULL;

    END CASE;

    ELSE
        next_state <= 0;
        wr_req_enable <= '0';

    END IF;

    ELSE --if it is reset or global_reset, go to state 0.
        next_state <= 0;
        wr_req_enable <= '0';
    END IF;
END IF;
END PROCESS Write_Seq_SM;

STATE_UPDATE: PROCESS (clock)
BEGIN -- Process
    IF ( (global_reset = '1') OR (reset = '1')) THEN --check for reset
        current_state <= 0;

    ELSE
        IF (clock = '1' AND clock'event) THEN -- at the rising edge of the clock,
update the states
            current_state <= next_state;

        END IF;
    END IF;
END PROCESS STATE_UPDATE;

RESET_PROCESS: PROCESS (global_reset, reset)

```

```

BEGIN
    IF global_reset = '1' THEN
        faclr <= "11111111";
    ELSE
        faclr <= "00000000";
    END IF;

END PROCESS RESET_PROCESS;

decoder : lpm_decode
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH => INCOMING_PORT_SIZE,
                LPM_DECODES => DATA_SIZE
                )

    PORT MAP (data => incoming_port_number,
              eq => wr_req
              );

--The output buffer(FIFO)
fifo0    : lpm_fifo_dc
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH    => FIFO_WIDTH,
                LPM_WIDTHHU  => FIFO_WIDTHHU,
                LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE
                )

    PORT MAP (data    => data_in,
              rdclock => clock8,  --read clock is the clock for dequeing
              wrclock => clock8,  --writing clock is the main clock
              wrreq   => wr_req_0,
              rdreq   => rd_req(0),
              aclr    => faclr(0),
              q       => fifo_out(0),  --output of the fifo
              wrfull  => fifo_full(0),
              rdempty => fifo_empty(0),
              rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(0),
              wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(0)
              );

fifo1    : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)

```

```

        GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH  => DATA_SIZE,
                    LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
                    LPM_WIDTHU   => FIFO_WIDTHU
                    )

        PORT MAP (data  => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_1,
        rdreq  => rd_req(1),
        aclr   => faclr(1),
        q      => fifo_out(1), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(1),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(1),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(1),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(1)
        );

fifo2    : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
        GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH  => DATA_SIZE,
                    LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
                    LPM_WIDTHU   => FIFO_WIDTHU
                    )

        PORT MAP (data  => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_2,
        rdreq  => rd_req(2),
        aclr   => faclr(2),
        q      => fifo_out(2), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(2),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(2),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(2),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(2)
        );

fifo3    : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
        GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH  => DATA_SIZE,

```

```

        LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
        LPM_WIDTHU   => FIFO_WIDTHU
    )

    PORT MAP (data => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_3,
        rdreq  => rd_req(3),
        aclr   => faclr(3),
        q      => fifo_out(3), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(3),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(3),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(3),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(3)    );

fifo4    : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH   => DATA_SIZE,
        LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
        LPM_WIDTHU   => FIFO_WIDTHU
    )

    PORT MAP (data => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_4,
        rdreq  => rd_req(4),
        aclr   => faclr(4),
        q      => fifo_out(4), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(4),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(4),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(4),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(4)
    );

fifo5    : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH   => DATA_SIZE,
        LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
        LPM_WIDTHU   => FIFO_WIDTHU
    )

```

```

    PORT MAP (data => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_5,
        rdreq  => rd_req(5),
        aclr   => faclr(5),
        q      => fifo_out(5), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(5),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(5),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(5),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(5)
    );

```

```

fifo6 : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH  => DATA_SIZE,
                LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
                LPM_WIDTHU  => FIFO_WIDTHU
    )

```

```

    PORT MAP (data => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
        rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
        wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
        wrreq  => wr_req_6,
        rdreq  => rd_req(6),
        aclr   => faclr(6),
        q      => fifo_out(6), --output of the fifo
        wrfull => fifo_full(6),
        rdempty => fifo_empty(6),
        rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(6),
        wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(6)
    );

```

```

fifo7 : lpm_fifo_dc --The input port buffer(FIFO)
    GENERIC MAP (LPM_WIDTH  => DATA_SIZE,
                LPM_NUMWORDS => FIFO_SIZE,
                LPM_WIDTHU  => FIFO_WIDTHU
    )

```



```

PORT MAP (data => data_in,
--**** read clock has to be changed to dq_count8(2) later *****
rdclock => clock8,    --read clock is the clock for dequeing
wrclock => clock8,    --writing clock is the main clock
wrreq  => wr_req_7,
rdreq  => rd_req(7),
aclr   => faclr(7),
q      => fifo_out(7), --output of the fifo
wrfull => fifo_full(7),
rdempty => fifo_empty(7),
rdusedw => fifo_rdusedw(7),
wrusedw => fifo_wrusedw(7)
);

```

END behav;

## **Appendix C.7. voq\_input\_package.vhd**

### **VHDL source code for the package file of project voq\_switch**

```
-- voq_input_package.vhd
-- Maryam Keyvani
-- Communication Networks Laboratory, Simon Fraser University
-- August 2001
-- This is the package file for the voq_switch project

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.ALL;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.ALL;

PACKAGE voq_input_package IS

CONSTANT DATA_SIZE    : INTEGER    := 8; -- Data is in bytes
CONSTANT BUFFER_WIDTHU : INTEGER := 10; -- Buffer is 848 words long and needs a
10 bit address line
CONSTANT BUFFER_SIZE   : INTEGER := 848;
CONSTANT PACKET_SIZE   : INTEGER := 53; -- An ATM packet is 53 bytes
CONSTANT SWITCH_SIZE   : INTEGER := 4; -- The switch is 4x4
CONSTANT NO_OF_BLOCKS: INTEGER := 16; -- Should be BUFFER_SIZE/PACKET_SIZE
CONSTANT POINTER_WIDTH : INTEGER := 4; -- Should be LOG(NO_OF_BLOCKS)
CONSTANT NO_OF_QUEUES  : INTEGER := 4; --It should be equal to the number of
output ports
CONSTANT VCI_VECTOR_SIZE: INTEGER := 24; -- vci_in_vector and vci_out_vector are
24 bits wide
CONSTANT NO_OF_GRANTS_REQ: INTEGER := 16; --request and grant vectors have 16
bits

-- VOQ_input TYPES
SUBTYPE POINTER IS STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(POINTER_WIDTH-1 DOWNT0 0);
SUBTYPE BYTE IS STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);
SUBTYPE VCI_VECTOR_TYPE IS STD_LOGIC_VECTOR (VCI_VECTOR_SIZE-1 DOWNT0
0);

--each linked list is of this type
TYPE QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR IS
RECORD
    head, tail: POINTER;
```

```
        empty: STD_LOGIC;
END RECORD;

--A 16 bit array of vci_vectors
TYPE VCI_VECTOR_ARRAY_TYPE IS ARRAY (NO_OF_BLOCKS-1 DOWNT0 0) of
VCI_VECTOR_TYPE;
TYPE NEXT_REGISTER_TYPE IS ARRAY (NO_OF_BLOCKS-1 DOWNT0 0) of POINTER;
TYPE QUEUE_TYPE IS ARRAY (NO_OF_QUEUES-1 DOWNT0 0) of QUEUE_DESCRIPTOR;

-- An array of 4 bytes
TYPE DATA_VECTOR IS ARRAY(SWITCH_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0) of STD_LOGIC_VECTOR
(DATA_SIZE-1 DOWNT0 0);


--The signals used to connect arbiters
TYPE c_bar_signal_array IS ARRAY (1 to 7) of STD_LOGIC_VECTOR(1 to 4);


END voq_input_package;
```

## **Appendix D. Simulation results**

## **Appendix D.1. Simulation results for the voq\_switch project**

Pages 143 and 144 contain the simulation results for the voq\_switch project.





## **Appendix D.2. Simulation results for the voq\_input project**

Pages 146 and 147 contain the simulation results for the voq\_input project.



